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INTRODUCTION

Pregnancy has a profound impact on the thyroid gland and thyroid function. The gland increases 10% in size during pregnancy in iodine-replete countries and by 20%–40% in areas of iodine deficiency. Production of thyroxine (T₄) and triiodothyronine (T₃) increases by 50%, along with a 50% increase in the daily iodine requirement. These physiological changes may result in hypothyroidism in the later stages of pregnancy in iodine-deficient women who were euthyroid in the first trimester. The range of thyrotropin (TSH), under the impact of placental human chorionic gonadotropin (hCG), is decreased throughout pregnancy with the lower normal TSH level in the first trimester being poorly defined and an upper limit of 2.5 mIU/L. Ten percent to 20% of all pregnant women in the first trimester of pregnancy are thyroid peroxidase (TPO) or thyroglobulin (Tg) antibody positive and euthyroid. Sixteen percent of the women who are euthyroid and positive for TPO or Tg antibody in the first trimester will develop a TSH that exceeds 4.0 mIU/L by the third trimester, and 33%–50% of women who are positive for TPO or Tg antibody in the first trimester will develop postpartum thyroiditis. In essence, pregnancy is a stress test for the thyroid, resulting in hypothyroidism in women with limited thyroidal reserve or iodine deficiency, and postpartum thyroiditis in women with underlying Hashimoto’s disease who were euthyroid prior to conception.

Knowledge regarding the interaction between the thyroid and pregnancy/postpartum period is advancing at a rapid pace. Only recently has a TSH of 2.5 mIU/L been accepted as the upper limit of normal for TSH in the first trimester. This has important implications in regards to interpretation of the literature as well as a critical impact for the clinical diagnosis of hypothyroidism. Although it is well accepted that overt hypothyroidism and overt hyperthyroidism have a deleterious impact on pregnancy, studies are now focusing on the potential impact of subclinical hypothyroidism and subclinical hyperthyroidism on maternal and fetal health, the association between miscarriage and preterm delivery in euthyroid women positive for TPO and/or Tg antibody, and the prevalence and long-term impact of postpartum thyroiditis. Recently completed prospective randomized studies have begun to produce critically needed data on the impact of treating thyroid disease on the mother, fetus, and the future intellect of the unborn child.

It is in this context that the American Thyroid Association (ATA) charged a task force with developing clinical guidelines on the diagnosis and treatment of thyroid disease during pregnancy and the postpartum. The task force consisted of international experts in the field of thyroid disease and pregnancy, and included representatives from the ATA, Asia and Oceania Thyroid Association, Latin American Thyroid Society, American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, and the Midwives Alliance of North America. Inclusion of thyroidologists, obstetricians, and midwives on the task...
force was essential to ensuring widespread acceptance and adoption of the developed guidelines.

The clinical guidelines task force commenced its activities in late 2009. The guidelines are divided into the following nine areas: 1) thyroid function tests, 2) hypothyroidism, 3) thyroiditis, 4) iodine, 5) thyroid antibodies and miscarriage/preterm delivery, 6) thyroid nodules and cancer, 7) postpartum thyroiditis, 8) recommendations on screening for thyroid disease during pregnancy, and 9) areas for future research. Each section consists of a series of questions germane to the clinician, followed by a discussion of the questions and concluding with recommendations.

Literature review for each section included an analysis of all primary papers in the area published since 1990 and selective review of the primary literature published prior to 1990 that was seminal in the field. In the past 15 years there have been a number of recommendations and guideline statements relating to aspects of thyroid and pregnancy (1,2). In deriving the present guidelines the task force conducted a new and comprehensive analysis of the primary literature as the basis for all of the recommendations. The strength of each recommendation was graded according to the United States Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF) Guidelines outlined below (3).

Level A. The USPSTF strongly recommends that clinicians provide (the service) to eligible patients. The USPSTF found good evidence that (the service) improves important health outcomes and concludes that benefits substantially outweigh harms.

Level B. The USPSTF recommends that clinicians provide (this service) to eligible patients. The USPSTF found at least fair evidence that (the service) improves important health outcomes and concludes that benefits outweigh harms.

Level C. The USPSTF makes no recommendation for or against routine provision of (the service). The USPSTF found at least fair evidence that (the service) can improve health outcomes but concludes that the balance of benefits and harms is too close to justify a general recommendation.

Level D. The USPSTF recommends against routinely providing (the service) to asymptomatic patients. The USPSTF found at least fair evidence that (the service) is ineffective or that harms outweigh benefits.

Level I. The USPSTF concludes that evidence is insufficient to recommend for or against routinely providing (the service). Evidence that (the service) is effective is lacking, or poor quality, or conflicting, and the balance of benefits and harms cannot be determined.

The organization of these guidelines is presented in Table 1. A complete list of the Recommendations is included in the Appendix. It should be noted that although there was unanimity in the vast majority of recommendations there were two recommendations for which one of the committee members did not agree with the final recommendation. The two recommendations for which there were dissenting opinions are Recommendations 9 and 76. The alternative view points are included in the body of the report.

The final document was approved by the ATA Board of Directors and officially endorsed by the American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists (AACE), British Thyroid Association (BTA), Endocrine Society of Australia (ESA), European Association of Nuclear Medicine (EANM), European Thyroid Association (ETA), Italian Association of Clinical Endocrinologists (AME), Korean Thyroid Association (KTA), and Latin American Thyroid Society (LATS).

Finally, the committee recognizes that knowledge on the interplay between the thyroid gland and pregnancy/postpartum is dynamic, and new data will continue to come forth at a rapid rate. It is understood that the present guidelines are applicable only until future data refine our understanding, define new areas of importance, and perhaps even refute some of our recommendations. In the interim, it is our hope that the present guidelines provide useful information to clinicians and help achieve our ultimate goal of the highest quality clinical care for pregnant women and their unborn children.

Table 1. Organization of Pregnancy Management Guidelines: Sections, Questions, and Recommendations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INTRODUCTION</th>
<th>THYROID FUNCTION TESTS IN PREGNANCY</th>
<th>HYPOTHYROIDISM IN PREGNANCY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q 1</td>
<td>How do thyroid function tests change during pregnancy?</td>
<td>Q 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q 2</td>
<td>What is the normal range for TSH in each trimester?</td>
<td>Q 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 1</td>
<td>Trimester-Specific Reference Ranges for TSH, # 1</td>
<td>Q 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 2</td>
<td>Trimester-Specific Reference Ranges for TSH, # 2</td>
<td>Q 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q 3</td>
<td>What is the optimal method to assess FT4 during pregnancy?</td>
<td>Q 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 3</td>
<td>FT4 Assay Methods, # 1</td>
<td>Q 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 4</td>
<td>FT4 Assay Methods, # 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 5</td>
<td>FT4 Assay Methods, # 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Page number

1086

1087

1087

1086

1087

1088

1088

1088

1089

1089

1090

(continued)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q/R</th>
<th>Question/Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Should isolated hypothyroxinemia be treated in pregnancy?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Should SCH be treated in pregnancy?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>When provided, what is the optimal treatment of OH and SCH?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>When provided, what is the goal of OH and SCH treatment?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>If pregnant women with SCH are not initially treated, how should they be monitored through gestation?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>How do hypothyroid women (receiving LT₄) differ from other patients during pregnancy? What changes can be anticipated in such patients during gestation?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>What proportion of treated hypothyroid women (receiving LT₄) require changes in their LT₄ dose during pregnancy?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>In treated hypothyroid women (receiving LT₄) who are planning pregnancy, how should the LT₄ dose be adjusted?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>In hypothyroid women (receiving LT₄) who are newly pregnant, what factors influence thyroid status and LT₄ requirements during gestation?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>In hypothyroid women (receiving LT₄) who are newly pregnant, how often should maternal thyroid function be monitored during gestation?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Frequency that Maternal Serum TSH Should Be Monitored During Pregnancy in Hypothyroid Women Taking LT₄ # 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Frequency that Maternal Serum TSH Should Be Monitored During Pregnancy in Hypothyroid Women Taking LT₄ # 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Dose Adjustment and Serum TSH Testing Postpartum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>What is the outcome and long-term prognosis when SCH and OH are effectively treated through gestation?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Except for measurement of maternal thyroid function, should additional maternal or fetal testing occur in treated, hypothyroid women during pregnancy?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Tests Other Than Serum TSH in Hypothyroid Women Receiving LT₄ Who Have an Uncomplicated Pregnancy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>In euthyroid women who are TAb⁺ prior to conception, what is the risk of hypothyroidism once they become pregnant?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>How should TAb⁺ euthyroid women be monitored and treated during pregnancy?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Monitoring Women Without a History of Hypothyroidism, but Who Are TAb⁺ During Pregnancy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Should TAb⁺ euthyroid women be monitored or treated for complications other than the risk of hypothyroidism during pregnancy?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Selenium Supplementation During Pregnancy for Women Who Are TPOAb⁺</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>What are the causes of thyrotoxicosis in pregnancy?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>What is the appropriate initial evaluation of a suppressed serum TSH concentration during the first trimester of pregnancy?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>How can gestational hyperthyroidism be differentiated from Graves’ hyperthyroidism in pregnancy?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Workup of Suppressed Serum TSH in First Trimester of Pregnancy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Ultrasound to Work-up Differential Diagnosis of Thyrotoxicosis in Pregnancy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Prohibition of Radioactive Iodine Scans and Uptake Studies During Pregnancy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>What is the appropriate management of gestational hyperthyroidism?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Management of Women with Gestational Hyperthyroidism and Hyperemesis Gravidarum</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(continued)
Table 1. (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R</th>
<th>26</th>
<th>Antithyroid Drugs in the Management of Gestational Hyperthyroidism</th>
<th>Page number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>How should women with Graves’ disease be counseled before pregnancy?</td>
<td>1094</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>Need to Render Hyperthyroid Women Euthyroid Before Pregnancy</td>
<td>1094</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>What is the management of patients with Graves’ hyperthyroidism in pregnancy?</td>
<td>1094</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>Timing of PTU and MMI Use in Pregnancy</td>
<td>1094</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Combining ATDs and LT₄ During Pregnancy</td>
<td>1094</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>What tests should be performed in women treated during pregnancy with ATDs? What is the target value of FT₄?</td>
<td>1095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Monitoring Frequency of FT₄ and Target FT₄ in Women on Antithyroid Drugs During Pregnancy</td>
<td>1095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>What are the indications and timing for thyroidectomy in the management of Graves’ disease during pregnancy?</td>
<td>1095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>Relative Role of Thyroidectomy and Its Timing for Managing Thyrotoxicosis in Pregnancy</td>
<td>1095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>What is the value of TRAb measurement in the evaluation of a pregnant woman with Graves’ hyperthyroidism?</td>
<td>1095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>History of Graves’ Disease as a Determinant of TRAb Measurement, and Timing of TRAb Measurement, in Pregnancy</td>
<td>1095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Under what circumstances should additional fetal ultrasound monitoring for growth, heart rate, and goiter be performed in women with Graves’ hyperthyroidism in pregnancy?</td>
<td>1095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Recommendations for Pregnant Women with High Risk of Fetal Thyroid Dysfunction</td>
<td>1096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>When should umbilical blood sampling be considered in women with Graves’ disease in pregnancy?</td>
<td>1096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Cordocentesis in Pregnancy</td>
<td>1096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>What are the etiologies of thyrotoxicosis in the postpartum period?</td>
<td>1096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>How should the etiology of new thyrotoxicosis be determined in the postpartum period?</td>
<td>1096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>How should Graves’ hyperthyroidism be treated in lactating women?</td>
<td>1096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Safe Doses of Antithyroid Drugs for Infants of Breastfeeding Mothers</td>
<td>1096</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CLINICAL GUIDELINES FOR IODINE NUTRITION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q</th>
<th>40</th>
<th>Why is increased iodine intake required in pregnancy and lactation, and how is iodine intake assessed?</th>
<th>Page number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>What is the impact of severe iodine deficiency on the mother, fetus, and child?</td>
<td>1096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>What is the impact of mild to moderate iodine deficiency on the mother, fetus, and child?</td>
<td>1097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>What is the iodine status of pregnant and breastfeeding women in the United States?</td>
<td>1097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>What is the iodine status of pregnant and breastfeeding women worldwide?</td>
<td>1097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>Does iodine supplementation in pregnancy and lactation improve outcomes in severe iodine deficiency?</td>
<td>1097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>Does iodine supplementation in pregnancy and lactation improve outcomes in mildly to moderately iodine-deficient women?</td>
<td>1097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>What is the recommended daily iodine intake in women planning pregnancy, women who are pregnant, and women who are breastfeeding?</td>
<td>1097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Minimum Iodine Intake Requirements in Pregnant Women, # 1</td>
<td>1098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>Minimum Iodine Intake Requirements in Pregnant Women, # 2</td>
<td>1098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>Minimum Iodine Intake Requirements in Pregnant Women, # 3</td>
<td>1098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>What is the safe upper limit for iodine consumption in pregnant and breastfeeding women?</td>
<td>1098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>Recommendation Against High Amounts of Iodine in Pregnancy, # 1</td>
<td>1098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Recommendation Against High Amounts of Iodine in Pregnancy, # 2</td>
<td>1098</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SPONTANEOUS PREGNANCY LOSS, PRETERM DELIVERY, AND THYROID ANTIBODIES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q</th>
<th>49</th>
<th>Is there an association between thyroid antibody positivity and sporadic spontaneous abortion in euthyroid women?</th>
<th>Page number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Should women be screened for TPO antibodies before or during pregnancy with the goal of treating TPOAb⁺ euthyroid women with LT₄ to decrease the rate of spontaneous miscarriage?</td>
<td>1099</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>Screening for Thyroid Antibodies in the First Trimester</td>
<td>1099</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>Is there an association between thyroid antibodies and recurrent spontaneous abortion in euthyroid women?</td>
<td>1099</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>Should women with recurrent abortion be screened for TAb before or during pregnancy with the goal of treating euthyroid TAb⁺ women with LT₄ or IVIG therapy to decrease the rate of recurrent spontaneous abortion?</td>
<td>1099</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(continued)
Table 1. (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R</th>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q 53</td>
<td>Should euthyroid women who are known to be TAb+ either before or during pregnancy be treated with LT4 in order to decrease the chance of sporadic or recurrent miscarriage?</td>
<td>1100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q 54</td>
<td>Is there an association between thyroid antibody positivity and pregnancy loss in euthyroid women undergoing IVF?</td>
<td>1100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q 55</td>
<td>Should women undergoing in vitro fertilization be screened for TPOAb+ before or during pregnancy with the goal of treating euthyroid TPOAb+ women with LT4 to decrease the rate of spontaneous miscarriage?</td>
<td>1100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 44</td>
<td>Treating Euthyroid TAb+ Women Undergoing Assisted Reproduction Technologies with LT4</td>
<td>1100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q 56</td>
<td>Is there an association between thyroid antibodies and preterm delivery in euthyroid women?</td>
<td>1100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q 57</td>
<td>Should women be screened for thyroid antibodies before or during pregnancy with the goal of treating TAb+ euthyroid women with LT4 to decrease the rate of preterm delivery?</td>
<td>1100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 45</td>
<td>First Trimester Screening for Thyroid Antibodies with Consideration of LT4 Therapy to Decrease the Risk of Preterm Delivery</td>
<td>1100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

THYROID NODULES AND THYROID CANCER

| Q 58 | What is the frequency of thyroid nodules during pregnancy? | 1100                                                                   |
| Q 59 | What is the frequency of thyroid cancer in women with thyroid nodules discovered during pregnancy? | 1101                                                                   |
| Q 60 | What is the optimal diagnostic strategy for thyroid nodules detected during pregnancy? | 1101                                                                   |
| R 46 | Workup of Thyroid Nodules During Pregnancy | 1101                                                                   |
| R 47 | Measurement of Serum Calcitonin in Pregnant Women with Thyroid Nodules | 1101                                                                   |
| R 48 | Risk of FNA of Thyroid Nodules in Pregnancy | 1102                                                                   |
| R 49 | FNA of Thyroid Nodules in Pregnancy | 1102                                                                   |
| R 50 | Recommendation Against Use of Radiiodine in Pregnancy | 1102                                                                   |
| Q 61 | Does pregnancy impact the prognosis of thyroid carcinoma? | 1102                                                                   |
| R 51 | Time of Surgery for Pregnant Women with Well-Differentiated Thyroid Carcinoma | 1102                                                                   |
| R 52 | Time of Surgery for Pregnant Women with Medullary Thyroid Carcinoma | 1102                                                                   |
| Q 62 | What are the perioperative risks to mother and fetus of surgery for thyroid cancer during pregnancy? | 1102                                                                   |
| R 53 | Risk of Surgery for Thyroid Carcinoma in the Second Trimester | 1102                                                                   |
| Q 63 | How should benign thyroid nodules be managed during pregnancy? | 1103                                                                   |
| R 54 | Surgery During Pregnancy for Benign Thyroid Nodules | 1103                                                                   |
| Q 64 | How should DTC be managed during pregnancy? | 1103                                                                   |
| R 55 | Role of Thyroid Ultrasound in Pregnant Women with Suspected Thyroid Carcinoma | 1103                                                                   |
| R 56 | Time of Surgery for Pregnant Women with Differentiated Thyroid Carcinoma | 1103                                                                   |
| R 57 | LT4 Treatment in Pregnant Women with Differentiated Thyroid Carcinoma | 1103                                                                   |
| Q 65 | How should suspicious thyroid nodules be managed during pregnancy? | 1103                                                                   |
| R 58 | Time of Surgery for Pregnant Women with FNA Suspicious for Thyroid Cancer | 1103                                                                   |
| Q 66 | What are the TSH goals during pregnancy for women with previously treated thyroid cancer and who are on LT4 therapy? | 1103                                                                   |
| R 59 | Goal for TSH Level in Pregnant Women with History of Thyroid Cancer | 1104                                                                   |
| Q 67 | What is the effect of RAI treatment for DTC on subsequent pregnancies? | 1104                                                                   |
| R 60 | Timing of Pregnancy in Women with a History of Radioactive Iodine Treatment | 1105                                                                   |
| Q 68 | Does pregnancy increase the risk of DTC recurrence? | 1105                                                                   |
| Q 69 | What type of monitoring should be performed during pregnancy in a patient who has already been treated for DTC prior to pregnancy? | 1105                                                                   |
| R 61 | Role of Ultrasound and Tg Monitoring During Pregnancy in Women with a History of Low-Risk DTC | 1105                                                                   |
| R 62 | Role of Ultrasound Monitoring in Women with DTC and High Thyroglobulin Levels or Persistent Structural Disease | 1105                                                                   |

POSTPARTUM THYROIDITIS

| Q 70 | What is the definition of PPT and what are its clinical implications? | 1105                                                                   |
| Q 71 | What is the etiology of PPT? | 1105                                                                   |
| Q 72 | Are there predictors of PPT? | 1105                                                                   |
| Q 73 | What is the prevalence of PPT? | 1106                                                                   |
| Q 74 | What symptoms are associated with PPT? | 1106                                                                   |

(continued)
RESULTS

Thyroid Function Tests in Pregnancy

**Question 1: How do thyroid function tests change during pregnancy?**

To meet the challenge of increased metabolic needs during pregnancy, the thyroid adapts through changes in thyroid hormone economy and in the regulation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-thyroid axis (4,5). Consequently, thyroid function test results of healthy pregnant women differ from those of healthy nonpregnant women. This calls for pregnancy-specific and ideally trimester-specific reference intervals for all thyroid function tests but in particular for the most widely applied tests, TSH and free T4 (FT4).

Following conception, circulating total T4 (TT4) and T4 binding globulin (TBG) concentrations increase by 6–8 weeks and remain high until delivery. Thyrotropic activity of hCG results in a decrease in serum TSH in the first trimester (5,6). Therefore, during pregnancy, women have lower serum TSH concentrations than before pregnancy, and frequently TSH is below the classical lower limit of 0.4 mIU/L (7,8).

Most studies also report a substantial decrease in serum FT4 concentrations with progression of gestation (7,9,10). Serum FT4 measurements in pregnant women are complicated by increased TBG and decreased albumin concentrations that can cause immunoassays to be unreliable (11,12). Therefore the analytical method used for serum FT4 analysis should be taken into consideration.

**Question 2: What is the normal range for TSH in each trimester?**

There is strong evidence in the literature that the reference range for TSH is lower throughout pregnancy; i.e., both the lower normal limit and the upper normal limit of serum TSH are decreased by about 0.1–0.2 mIU/L and 1.0 mIU/L, respectively, compared with the customary TSH reference in-
tential of 0.4–4.0 mIU/L of nonpregnant women. The largest decrease in serum TSH is observed during the first trimester and is transient, apparently related to hCG levels, which are highest early in gestation (Table 2). The median TSH values in the three trimesters in Table 2 are quite consistent, except for the study by Marwaha et al. (18) which, for unexplained reasons, reports higher TSH values throughout pregnancy. Serum TSH and its reference range gradually rise in the second and third trimesters, but it is noteworthy that the TSH reference interval remains lower than in nonpregnant women (13,15). Since hCG concentrations are higher in multiple pregnancies than in singleton pregnancies, the downward shift in the TSH reference interval is greater in twin pregnancies than in singleton pregnancies (19). In a study of 63 women with hCG concentrations >200,000 IU/L, TSH was suppressed (≤0.2 mIU/L) in 67% of women, and in 100% of women if hCG concentrations were >400,000 IU/L (20).

In a small percentage of women, TSH can be very suppressed (<0.01 mIU/L) and yet still represent a normal pregnancy. There are slight but significant ethnic differences in serum TSH concentrations. Black and Asian women have TSH values that are on average 0.4 mIU/L lower than in white women; these differences persist during pregnancy (21,22). Pregnant women of Moroccan, Turkish, or Surinamese descent residing in The Netherlands, have TSH values 0.2–0.3 mIU/L lower than Dutch women throughout pregnancy (23). TSH ranges vary slightly depending on differences between methods of analysis (24). Subclinical hyperthyroidism is not associated with adverse pregnancy outcomes; therefore, a TSH value that is within detection is unlikely to be clinically significant (25).

**RECOMMENDATION 1**

Trimester-specific reference ranges for TSH, as defined in populations with optimal iodine intake, should be applied.

**Level B-USPSTF**

**RECOMMENDATION 2**

If trimester-specific reference ranges for TSH are not available in the laboratory, the following reference ranges are recommended: first trimester, 0.1–2.5 mIU/L; second trimester, 0.2–3.0 mIU/L; third trimester, 0.3–3.0 mIU/L.

**Level I-USPSTF**

**Question 3: What is the optimal method to assess FT4 during pregnancy?**

The normal ranges for FT4 index are calculated by TT4 × T3 uptake or a ratio of TT4 and TBG, but trimester-specific reference intervals for FT4 index have not been established in a reference population. Only 0.03% of serum TT4 content is unbound to serum proteins and is the FT4 available for tissue uptake. Sera TT4 concentrations are in the nanomolar range, but FT4 concentrations are in the picomolar range. Measuring FT4 in the presence of high concentrations of bound T4 has proved challenging especially in abnormal binding-protein states such as pregnancy.

Equilibrium dialysis and ultrafiltration are used for physical separation of serum FT4 from bound T4 prior to analysis of the dialysate or ultrafiltrate. Assays based on classical equilibrium dialysis or ultrafiltration are laborious, time-consuming, expensive, and not widely available.

FT4 immunoassay approaches are liable to error by disrupting the original equilibrium, which is dependent on dilution, temperature, buffer composition, affinity, and concentration of the T4 antibody reagent and T3-binding capacity of the serum sample (26). High TBG concentrations in serum samples tend to result in higher FT4 values, whereas low albumin in serum likely will yield lower FT4 values. In order to decrease nonspecific binding and neutralize the effect of nonesterified fatty acids on serum FT4, some assays add albumin; however, albumin binds T4 and when it is added in sufficient amounts, it may disrupt the equilibrium. Nevertheless, the currently used FT4 immunoassays perform reasonably well under most circumstances, accurately reporting low FT4 levels in thyroid hormone deficiency and high FT4 levels in thyroid hormone excess (27).

The serum of pregnant women is characterized by higher concentrations of TBG and nonesterified fatty acids and by lower concentrations of albumin relative to the serum of nonpregnant women. Many current FT4 immunoassays fail to account for the effect of dilution (26,28). Because FT4 reference intervals in pregnancy varied widely between methods, interpretation of FT4 values requires method-specific ranges (11,12,29). Moreover, such ranges are also influenced by the iodine status of the population studied. Whereas it is customary for manufacturers to suggest that laboratories establish their own reference range for a test, this is impractical in clinical practice. It is especially difficult to recruit subjects with specific conditions such as pregnancy in order to independently establish method- and trimester-specific ranges. It follows that it is customary for laboratories to adopt the ranges provided by the manufacturer of the test. Typically, the characteristics of these reference pregnant cohorts are not disclosed and may differ in iodine intake and ethnicity to an extent that compromises the value of adopting the manufacturer ranges across different populations.

Current uncertainty around FT4 estimates in pregnancy has led some to question the wisdom of relying on FT4 immunoassays during pregnancy (30,31). In contrast to FT4 as measured by two commercial immunoassays, TT4 and the FT4 index.

---

**Table 2. Sample Trimester-Specific Reference Intervals for Serum TSH**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>First</th>
<th>Second</th>
<th>Third</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Haddow et al. (13)</td>
<td>0.94 (0.08–2.73)</td>
<td>1.29 (0.39–2.70)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stricker et al. (14)</td>
<td>1.04 (0.09–2.83)</td>
<td>1.02 (0.20–2.79)</td>
<td>1.14 (0.31–2.90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panesar et al. (15)</td>
<td>0.80 (0.03–2.30)</td>
<td>1.10 (0.03–3.10)</td>
<td>1.30 (0.13–3.50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soldin et al. (16)</td>
<td>0.98 (0.24–2.99)</td>
<td>1.09 (0.46–2.95)</td>
<td>1.20 (0.43–2.78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bocos-Terraz et al. (17)</td>
<td>0.92 (0.03–2.65)</td>
<td>1.12 (0.12–2.64)</td>
<td>1.29 (0.23–3.56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marwaha et al. (18)</td>
<td>2.10 (0.60–5.00)</td>
<td>2.40 (0.43–5.78)</td>
<td>2.10 (0.74–5.70)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Median TSH in mIU/L, with parenthesis data indicating 5th and 95th percentiles (13,15,18) or 2.5th and 97.5th percentiles (14,16,17).*
showed the expected inverse relationship with TSH (30). The authors argue that TT₄ measurements may be superior to FT₄ measurements by immunoassay in sera of pregnant women, provided the reference values take into account the 50% increase of TBG in pregnancy by calculating the FT₄ index with the help of a serum thyroid hormone uptake test.

The latest development in the field of FT₄ analysis is to measure free thyroid hormones in the dialysate or ultrafiltrate using online solid phase extraction–liquid chromatography/tandem mass spectrometry (LC/MS/MS). The method is regarded as a major advance, with higher specificity in comparison to immunoassays and great potential to be applied in the routine assessment of FT₄ and FT₃. Using direct equilibrium dialysis and LC/MS/MS, the 95% FT₄ reference intervals decreased gradually with advancing gestational age: from 1.08–1.82 ng/dL in week 14 to 0.86–1.53 ng/dL in week 20 (32). Using ultrafiltration followed by isotope dilution LC/MS/MS, serum FT₄ concentrations (given as mean ± SE) were 0.93 ± 0.25 ng/dL in nonpregnant women, 1.13 ± 0.23 ng/dL in the first trimester, 0.92 ± 0.30 ng/dL in the second trimester, and 0.86 ± 0.21 ng/dL in the third trimester (9). Serum FT₄ measured by a direct analog immunoassay in the same samples also demonstrated decreasing values during pregnancy: 1.05 ± 0.22 ng/dL, 0.88 ± 0.17 ng/dL, and 0.89 ± 0.17 ng/dL in the first, second, and third trimesters, respectively. Serum FT₄ by LC/MS/MS correlated very well with serum FT₄ measured by classical equilibrium dialysis, but correlation with results from the FT₄ immunoassay were less satisfactory (9).

Free thyroid hormone concentrations measured by LC/MS/MS correlate generally to a greater degree with log TSH values compared with concentrations measured by immunoassay (31). In pregnancy, however, there is little relationship between log TSH and FT₄ (r = 0.11 for FT₄ LC/MS/MS, and r = –0.06 for FT₄ immunoassay) (33), suggesting changes in the set point of the hypothalamic-pituitary-thyroid axis during pregnancy. Application of LC/MS/MS for measurement of free thyroid hormones is currently in routine clinical use in a few centers. The method is ideally suited for generating reliable, reproducible trimester-specific reference ranges for FT₄ (9). A working group of the International Federation of Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine recommends the use of isotope dilution-LC/MS/MS for measuring T₄ in the dialysate from equilibrium dialysis of serum in order to obtain a trueness-based reference measurement procedure for serum FT₄ (34). This assay technology, unfortunately, is currently not widely available due to high instrument and operating costs.

RECOMMENDATION 3
The optimal method to assess serum FT₄ during pregnancy is measurement of T₄ in the dialysate or ultrafiltrate of serum samples employing online solid phase extraction/liquid chromatography/tandem mass spectrometry (LC/MS/MS). Level A-USPSTF

RECOMMENDATION 4
If FT₄ measurement by LC/MS/MS is not available, clinicians should use whichever measure or estimate of FT₄ is available in their laboratory, being aware of the limitations of each method. Serum TSH is a more accurate indication of thyroid status in pregnancy than any of these alternative methods. Level A-USPSTF

Hypothyroidism in Pregnancy

In the absence of rare exceptions (TSH-secreting pituitary tumor, thyroid hormone resistance, a few cases of central hypothyroidism with biologically inactive TSH) primary maternal hypothyroidism is defined as the presence of an elevated TSH concentration during gestation. Historically, the reference range for serum TSH was derived from the serum of healthy, nonpregnant individuals. Using these data, values greater than ~4.0 mIU/L were considered abnormal. More recently, normative data from healthy pregnant women suggest the upper reference range may approximate 2.5–3.0 mIU/L (15,19). When maternal TSH is elevated, measurement of serum FT₄ concentration is necessary to classify the patient’s status as either subclinical (SCH) or overt hypothyroidism (OH). This is dependent upon whether FT₄ is within or below the trimester-specific FT₄ reference range. The distinction of OH from SCH is important because published data relating to the maternal and fetal effects attributable to OH are more consistent and easier to translate into clinical recommendations in comparison to those regarding SCH.

Several investigations report that at least 2%–3% of apparently healthy, nonpregnant women of childbearing age have an elevated serum TSH (35,36). Among these healthy nonpregnant women of childbearing age it is estimated that 0.3%–0.5% of them would, after having thyroid function tests, be classified as having OH, while 2%–2.5% of them would be classified as having SCH. These data derive from a population in the United States, which is considered a relatively iodine-sufficient country. It would be anticipated that such percentages would be higher in areas of iodine insufficiency. When iodine nutrition is adequate, the most frequent cause of hypothyroidism is autoimmune thyroid disease (also called Hashimoto’s thyroiditis). Thyroid auto-antibodies were detected in ~50% of pregnant women with SCH and in more than 80% with OH (36).

Question 4: What are the definitions of OH and SCH in pregnancy?
Elevations in serum TSH during pregnancy should be defined using pregnancy-specific reference ranges. OH is defined as an elevated TSH (>2.5 mIU/L) in conjunction with a decreased FT₄ concentration. Women with TSH levels of 10.0 mIU/L or above, irrespective of their FT₄ levels, are also considered to have OH. SCH is defined as a serum TSH between 2.5 and 10 mIU/L with a normal FT₄ concentration.

Question 5: How is isolated hypothyroxinemia defined in pregnancy?
Isolated hypothyroxinemia is defined as a normal maternal TSH concentration in conjunction with FT₄ concentrations in the lower 5th or 10th percentile of the reference range.

Question 6: What adverse outcomes are associated with OH in pregnancy?
OH in pregnancy has consistently been shown to be associated with an increased risk of adverse pregnancy com-
complications, as well as detrimental effects upon fetal neurocognitive development (37). Specific adverse outcomes associated with maternal OH include an increased risk of premature birth, low birth weight, and miscarriage. Abalovich et al. (38) demonstrated such patients carry an estimated 60% risk of fetal loss when OH was not adequately detected and treated. Leung et al. (39) demonstrated a 22% risk of gestational hypertension in pregnant women with OH, higher in comparison to euthyroid women or those with SCH. Allan and colleagues (36) also describe an increased risk of fetal death among pregnant women with OH. In conclusion, a firm association between OH and adverse risk to the maternal-fetal unit has been demonstrated.

**Question 7: What adverse outcomes are associated with SCH in pregnancy?**

SCH is associated with an increased risk of adverse pregnancy complications and possibly with an increased risk of neurocognitive deficits in the developing fetus. In comparison to OH, however, data regarding SCH are variable. In the best study to date, Negro and colleagues (40) published data suggesting SCH increases the risk of pregnancy complications in anti-thyroid peroxidase antibody positive (TPOAb+) women. In a prospective, randomized trial of >4000 women, a group of “low-risk” individuals was universally screened in early pregnancy for TPOAb+ and TSH elevation >2.5 mIU/L. When this combination was identified, LT4 treatment was initiated in order to normalize serum TSH. In a control population of equal size serum samples were obtained in early pregnancy, but measurement of serum TSH and TPO Ab was delayed until after delivery, and thus no LT4 was provided to this group. This allowed direct comparison of the effects of LT4 administration in women who were TPOAb+ and had TSH values above 2.5 mIU/L with the findings in untreated controls. The results confirmed a significant reduction in a combined endpoint of pregnancy complications. In a follow-up analysis of the same data, Negro et al. (41) reported a significantly higher miscarriage rate in TPOAb—women with TSH levels between 2.5 and 5.0 mIU/L compared with those with TSH levels below 2.5 mIU/L (6.1% vs. 3.6% respectively, \( p = 0.006 \)). The latter trial had no interventional component. These prospective data are supported by previous retrospective data published by Casey and colleagues (35). In that investigation, a two- to threefold increased risk of pregnancy-related complications was demonstrated in untreated women with SCH. Similarly, Benhadi and colleagues (42) performed a case-control study investigating risk of pregnancy loss in 2497 Dutch women. In this cohort of pregnant women without OH, the risk of child loss increased with higher levels of maternal TSH.

However, some published data demonstrate contrasting conclusions. Cleary-Goldman et al. (43) reported no adverse effect from subclinical maternal hypothyroidism (detected in the first and second trimester) in a cohort of 10,990 pregnant women. However, the study analysis was performed only utilizing a selected subgroup of the entire study cohort (29% of the study cohort analyzed) with a mean gestational age of screening between 10.5 and 14 weeks gestation. Furthermore, women were only included in the study if their pregnancy remained viable until a second trimester serum sample could be obtained. Mannisto and colleagues (44,45) evaluated the relationship between pregnancy outcome and thyroid function tests obtained at 12 weeks gestation in 5805 women, from an initial cohort of 9247 women, and found no adverse consequence on perinatal mortality. However, because only 63% of the full study cohort was included in this analysis (5805/9247), interpretation of the data is limited.

In the most recently published study on pregnancy loss Ashoor et al. (46) evaluated TSH and FT4 levels in 202 singleton pregnancies at 11–13 weeks in pregnancies that subsequently resulted in miscarriage or fetal death and compared the thyroid function tests with those of 4318 normal pregnancies. Women who experienced either miscarriage or fetal loss had increased TSH levels above the 97.5th percentile (5.9% vs. 2.5%, \( p < 0.05 \)) and FT4 levels below the 2.5th percentile (5.0% vs. 2.5%, \( p < 0.05 \)). At present, the majority of high-quality evidence suggests that SCH is associated with increased risk of adverse pregnancy outcomes.

The detrimental effect of SCH on fetal neurocognitive development is less clear. Data from a large, case-control study demonstrated a reduction in intelligence quotient (IQ) among children born to untreated hypothyroid women when compared with euthyroid controls. These data by Haddow et al. (37) describe a 7-point IQ deficit in the offspring of untreated hypothyroid women in addition to delays in motor, language, and attention at 7–9 years of age. Similar retrospective data were previously published by Man and colleagues (47,48), although it is worth noting that such older data identified patients based on serum butanol-extractable iodine as opposed to thyroid function measurement. Preliminary data from the Controlled Antenatal Thyroid Screening trials, presented at the International Thyroid Congress in 2010, have questioned these findings. Primary outcomes of the study were the mean IQ of children at 3.5 years and the percentage of children with an IQ < 85 at 3.5 years among children whose mothers were treated for SCH and/or isolated hypothyroxinemia as compared to children whose mothers were not treated. In the intention to treat analysis there were no differences in either of these outcomes. However, the percentage of children with IQs < 85 was higher in the untreated group vs. the treated group (15.6% vs. 9.2%, \( p = 0.009 \)). The data presented at the International Thyroid Congress did not break down the findings based on whether the women had SCH or isolated hypothyroxinemia. In summary, an association between maternal SCH and adverse fetal neurocognitive development is biologically plausible (49), though not clearly demonstrated.

**Question 8: What adverse outcomes are associated with isolated hypothyroxinemia in pregnancy?**

It is debated whether isolated hypothyroxinemia causes any adverse effects on the developing fetus. Pop and colleagues (50) reported a decrease in psychomotor test scores among offspring born to women with FT4 indices in the lowest 10th percentile. These mothers often had normal serum TSH values. Li et al. (51) observed a similar reduction in the IQ of the offspring whose mothers experienced either
RECOMMENDATION 6

OH should be treated in pregnancy. This includes women with a TSH concentration above the trimester-specific reference interval with a decreased FT₄, and all women with a TSH concentration above 10.0 mIU/L irrespective of the level of FT₄. Level A-USPSTF

Question 10: Should isolated hypothyroxinemia be treated in pregnancy?

To date, no randomized, interventional trial of LT₄ therapy has been performed in pregnant women with isolated hypothyroxinemia (this will change with the publication of the Controlled Antenatal Thyroid Study). Thus, because only limited data exist suggesting harm from isolated hypothyroxinemia and no interventional data have been published, the committee does not recommend therapy for such women at present. Level C-USPSTF

RECOMMENDATION 7

Isolated hypothyroxinemia should not be treated in pregnancy. Level C-USPSTF

Question 11: Should SCH be treated in pregnancy?

A large amount of retrospective data provides circumstantial evidence supporting an increased risk of adverse outcomes from maternal SCH. Clinicians should be aware of these potential increased risks associated with SCH, and it is reasonable to consider LT₄ treatment under these circumstances. There is a single randomized controlled trial that demonstrated that LT₄ intervention at ~9 weeks gestation resulted in a reduction in adverse pregnancy outcomes in TPOAb⁺ women with SCH (40). However, the majority of women with SCH detected in this investigation were TPOAb⁻, and no intervention or treatment was provided for them. This study also used a composite study endpoint including hard-to-interpret variables such as cesarean section rates and postdelivery admission to the neonatal intensive care unit. Another randomized controlled trial (RCT) demonstrated a decrease in preterm delivery and miscarriage in euthyroid (defined as TSH <4.2 mIU/L) TPOAb⁺ women who were treated with LT₄ beginning in the first trimester of pregnancy. It should be noted that some of the women diagnosed as euthyroid in this study (TSH <4.2 mIU/L), would now be classified as having SCH (TSH >2.5 mIU/L).

RECOMMENDATION 8

SCH has been associated with adverse maternal and fetal outcomes. However, due to the lack of randomized controlled trials there is insufficient evidence to recommend for or against universal LT₄ treatment in TAb⁻ pregnant women with SCH. Level I-USPSTF

RECOMMENDATION 9

Women who are positive for TPOAb and have SCH should be treated with LT₄. Level B-USPSTF

Dissent from one committee member: There is no consistent prospective evidence demonstrating that women who are TPOAb⁺, but who have SCH only, achieve maternal or perinatal benefit from LT₄ treatment. Correspondingly, there is no indication to treat women who are TPOAb⁺ and have SCH with LT₄.

Question 12: When provided, what is the optimal treatment of OH or SCH?

RECOMMENDATION 10

The recommended treatment of maternal hypothyroidism is with administration of oral LT₄. It is strongly recommended not to use other thyroid preparations such as T₃ or desiccated thyroid. Level A-USPSTF

Question 13: When provided, what is the goal of OH or SCH treatment?

RECOMMENDATION 11

The goal of LT₄ treatment is to normalize maternal serum TSH values within the trimester-specific pregnancy reference range (first trimester, 0.1–2.5 mIU/L; second trimester, 0.2–3.0 mIU/L; third trimester, 0.3–3.0 mIU/L). Level A-USPSTF

Question 14: If pregnant women with SCH are not initially treated, how should they be monitored through gestation?

RECOMMENDATION 12

Women with SCH in pregnancy who are not initially treated should be monitored for progression to OH with a serum TSH and FT₄ approximately every 4 weeks until 16–20 weeks gestation and at least once between 26 and 32 weeks gestation. This approach has not been prospectively studied. Level I-USPSTF
PREGNANCY AND POSTPARTUM THYROID MANAGEMENT GUIDELINES

Question 15: How do treated hypothyroid women (receiving LT4) differ from other patients during pregnancy? What changes can be anticipated in such patients during gestation?

The physiologic changes of the thyroid system during pregnancy have been well elucidated. Total body T4 requirements are not static throughout gestation. Rather, data demonstrate that total body T4 concentrations must increase 20%–50% to maintain a euthyroid state (53,54). In a healthy woman who becomes pregnant, the intact hypothalamic-pituitary-thyroid axis self-regulates to increase the T4 pool for the maternal–fetal unit. Additionally, hCG plays a major role in the stimulus of maternal thyroid hormone, especially throughout the first trimester of pregnancy. Together, placental hCG and pituitary TSH stimulate endogenous T4 (and T3) production when an intact thyroid is present, and maintain a euthyroid state during gestation.

In women with known hypothyroidism, however, serum hCG and TSH cannot stimulate T4 production. If exogenous LT4 is not adjusted, the increased demand of pregnancy will outstrip supply and maternal hypothyroidism will occur. Clinical studies have confirmed that the increased requirement for T4 (or exogenous LT4) occurs as early as 4–6 weeks of pregnancy (54). Such requirements gradually increase through 16–20 weeks of pregnancy, and thereafter plateau until time of delivery. These data provide the basis for recommending adjustments to thyroid hormone in affected women once pregnant and for the timing of follow-up intervals for TSH in treated patients.

Question 16: What proportion of treated hypothyroid women (receiving LT4) require changes in their LT4 dose during pregnancy?

Between 50% and 85% (38,53,54) of hypothyroid women being treated with exogenous LT4 need to increase dosing during pregnancy. The incremental increase depends, in part, on the etiology of the hypothyroidism. There is a greater likelihood that dose increase will be required in those patients without functional thyroid tissue (e.g., due to radioablation, surgery) in comparison with patients with Hashimoto’s thyroiditis (55,56).

Question 17: In treated hypothyroid women (receiving LT4) who are planning pregnancy, how should the LT4 dose be adjusted?

The LT4 adjustment, when necessary, should be made as soon as possible after pregnancy is confirmed to reduce the probability of hypothyroidism. Normalization of TSH levels throughout gestation is the goal. A prospective, randomized study has recently provided evidence in support of one dose adjustment strategy for women receiving LT4 who are newly pregnant (57). For women who are euthyroid while receiving once-daily dosing of LT4 (regardless of amount), a recommendation to increase by two additional tablets weekly (nine tablets per week instead of seven tablets per week; 29% increase) can effectively prevent maternal hypothyroidism during the first trimester and mimic gestational physiology. This augmented dose should occur immediately after a missed menstrual cycle or suspected pregnancy occurs. Confirmatory biochemical testing should also occur simultaneously. A separate option is to increase the dosage of daily LT4 by approximately 25%–30%.

Question 18: In treated hypothyroid women (receiving LT4) who are newly pregnant, what factors influence thyroid status and LT4 requirements during gestation?

The difficulties inherent in trying to achieve rapid TSH normalization following conception have focused attention upon preconception TSH modulation. Some advocate that TSH levels be lower than 2.5 mIU/L in women planning to become pregnant (1). Others advocate that preconception TSH levels be lower than 1.2 mIU/L. In a study favoring the latter, only 17% of women with TSH values under this cutoff had to increase LT4 dose later during pregnancy (58). Separate, however, from preconception TSH values, it is increasingly apparent that other factors can also influence the rapidity and extent of LT4 augmentation necessary to maintain a euthyroid state during pregnancy. For example, variation and changes in maternal estrogen levels during pregnancy correlate with variations in the gestational requirements for LT4 (54).

Given the above, it is recommended that all treated hypothyroid women (currently receiving LT4) optimize thyroid status preconception. Maternal serum TSH concentration of <2.5 mIU/L is a reasonable goal for all such women. Ideally, lower TSH values (<1.5 mIU/L) will likely further reduce the risk of mild hypothyroidism in early pregnancy, though no difference in pregnancy outcomes has been demonstrated by this approach.

Question 19: In hypothyroid women (receiving LT4) who are newly pregnant, how often should maternal thyroid function be monitored during gestation?

A study by Yassa and colleagues (57) investigated the optimal timing of subsequent assessment of thyroid function following dose modification. Following LT4 adjustment, 92%
of abnormal maternal TSH values were detected when blood testing was performed every 4 weeks through midpregnancy. In comparison, a strategy assessing thyroid function every 6 weeks detected only 73% of abnormal values.

**RECOMMENDATION 16**
In pregnant patients with treated hypothyroidism, maternal serum TSH should be monitored approximately every 4 weeks during the first half of pregnancy because further 

**LT₄ dose adjustments are often required. Level B-USPSTF**

**RECOMMENDATION 17**
In pregnant patients with treated hypothyroidism, maternal TSH should be checked at least once between 26 and 32 weeks gestation. **Level I-USPSTF**

**Question 20: How should the LT₄ dose be adjusted postpartum?**

The necessary LT₄ dose adjustments during gestation are a function of pregnancy itself. Therefore, following delivery, maternal LT₄ dosing should be reduced to prepregnancy levels, and a serum TSH assessed 6 weeks thereafter. However, a recent study demonstrated that more than 50% of women with Hashimoto’s thyroiditis experienced an increase in the pregestational thyroid dose in the postpartum period, presumably due an exacerbation of autoimmune thyroid dysfunction postpartum (59).

**RECOMMENDATION 18**
Following delivery, LT₄ should be reduced to the patient’s preconception dose. Additional TSH testing should be performed at approximately 6 weeks postpartum. **Level B-USPSTF**

**Question 21: What is the outcome and long-term prognosis when SCH and/or OH are effectively treated through gestation?**

Although many investigations suggest that untreated (or incompletely treated) hypothyroid women have an increased chance of pregnancy complications such as pregnancy-induced hypertension, abrupton, low birth weight, and preterm deliveries (35,40), there are no data to suggest that women with adequately treated SCH or OH have an increased risk of any obstetrical complication. Consequently, there is no indication for any additional testing or surveillance in pregnancies of women either SCH or OH who are being monitored and being treated appropriately.

**Question 22: Except for measurement of maternal thyroid function, should additional maternal or fetal testing occur in treated, hypothyroid women during pregnancy?**

**RECOMMENDATION 19**
In the care of women with adequately treated Hashimoto’s thyroiditis, no other maternal or fetal thyroid testing is recommended beyond measurement of maternal thyroid function (such as serial fetal ultrasounds, antenatal testing, and/or umbilical blood sampling) unless for other pregnancy circumstances. **Level A-USPSTF**

**Question 23: In euthyroid women who are TAb+ prior to conception, what is the risk of hypothyroidism once they become pregnant?**

In 1994, Glinier et al. (60) performed a prospective study on 87 thyroid autoantibody positive (TAb+) euthyroid women evaluated before and during early pregnancy. Twenty percent of women in the study developed a TSH level of >4 mIU/L during gestation despite normal TSH and no requirement for LT₄ prenatally. This occurred despite the expected decrease in TAb titers during pregnancy. Twelve years later, in a prospective and randomized study, Negro et al. demonstrated similar results (28). The authors found that in TAb+ euthyroid women, TSH levels increased progressively as gestation progressed, from a mean of 1.7 mIU/L (12th week ) to 3.5 mIU/L (term), with 19% of women having a supranormal TSH value at delivery. These findings confirm that an increased requirement for thyroid hormone occurs during gestation. In women who are TAb+, both OH and SCH may occur during the stress of pregnancy as the ability of the thyroid to augment production is compromised and increasing demand outstrips supply. When this happens, an elevated TSH occurs. In summary, patients who are TAb+ have an increased propensity for hypothyroidism to occur later in gestation because some residual thyroid function may still remain and provide a buffer during the first trimester.

**Question 24: How should TAb+ euthyroid women be monitored and treated during pregnancy?**

TSH elevation should be avoided during gestation because of the theoretical and demonstrated harm both SCH and OH may cause to the pregnancy and developing fetus. Because these risks are increased in this population, increased surveillance of euthyroid TAb+ women should occur. Based on findings extrapolated from investigations of treated hypothyroid women who are newly pregnant (54), it is reasonable to evaluate euthyroid TAb+ women for TSH elevation approximately every 4–6 weeks during pregnancy. TSH values that are elevated beyond trimester-specific reference ranges should be treated as described above. Serial testing should occur through midpregnancy because the increased T₃ demand continues throughout the first half of gestation.

**RECOMMENDATION 20**
Euthyroid women (not receiving LT₄) who are TAb+ require monitoring for hypothyroidism during pregnancy. Serum TSH should be evaluated every 4 weeks during the first half of pregnancy and at least once between 26 and 32 weeks gestation. **Level B-USPSTF**

**Question 25: Should TAb+ euthyroid women be monitored or treated for complications other than the risk of hypothyroidism during pregnancy?**

In addition to the risk of hypothyroidism, it has been described that being TAb+ constitutes a risk factor for miscarriage, premature delivery (28,60), perinatal death (44), postpartum dysfunction, and low motor and intellectual development (IQ) in the offspring (51). Some studies have found, in nonpregnant women, that selenium is capable of diminishing the TPOAb titers (61–63). Other authors have described conflicting data (64). It has also been described that the selenium level can be low in full-
term pregnant women compared with nonpregnant women. Recently, Negro et al. (65) observed that TPOAb+ euthyroid pregnant women treated with 200 µg/d of selenium not only had a significant decrease in the frequency of postpartum thyroid dysfunction (p < 0.01), but also had lower TPOAb levels during pregnancy compared with women in the untreated group. However, patients under treatment with selenium could be at higher risk of developing type 2 diabetes mellitus (66). At present, the risk to benefit comparison does not support routine selenium supplementation during pregnancy.

- **RECOMMENDATION 21**
  A single RCT has demonstrated a reduction in postpartum thyroiditis from selenium therapy. No subsequent trials have confirmed or refuted these findings. At present, selenium supplementation is not recommended for TPOAb+ women during pregnancy. **Level C-USPSTF**

### Thyrotoxicosis in Pregnancy

**Question 26: What are the causes of thyrotoxicosis in pregnancy?**

Thyrotoxicosis is defined as “the clinical syndrome of hypermetabolism and hyperactivity that results when the serum concentrations of free thyroxine hormone (T4) and/or free triiodothyronine (T3) are high” (67). Graves’ disease is the most common cause of autoimmune hyperthyroidism in pregnancy, occurring in 0.1%–1% (0.4% clinical and 0.6% subclinical) of all pregnancies (68,69). It may be diagnosed for the first time in pregnancy or may present as a recurrent episode in a woman with a past history of hyperthyroidism. Less common non-autoimmune causes of thyrotoxicosis include toxic multinodular goiter, toxic adenoma, and factitious thyrotoxicosis. Subacute painful or silent thyroiditis or struma ovarii are rare causes of thyrotoxicosis in pregnancy. More frequent than Graves’ disease as the cause of thyrotoxicosis is the syndrome of gestational hyperthyroidism defined as “transient hyperthyroidism, limited to the first half of pregnancy characterized by elevated FT4 or adjusted TT4 and suppressed or undetectable serum TSH, in the absence of serum markers of thyroid autoimmunity” (70). It is diagnosed in about 1%–3% of pregnancies, depending on the geographic area and is secondary to elevated hCG levels (70,71). It may be associated with hyperemesis gravidarum, defined as severe nausea and vomiting in early pregnancy, with more than 5% of weight loss, dehydration, and ketonuria. Hyperemesis gravidarum occurs in 0.5–10 per 1000 pregnancies (72,73). Other conditions associated with hCG-induced thyrotoxicosis include multiple gestation, hydatidiform mole or choriocarcinoma (74,75). Most of the cases present with marked elevations of serum hCG (20). A TSH receptor mutation leading to functional hypersensitivity to hCG also has been recognized as a rare cause of gestational hyperthyroidism (76).

**Question 27: What is the appropriate initial evaluation of a suppressed serum TSH concentration during the first trimester of pregnancy?**

Serum TSH levels fall in the first trimester of normal pregnancies as a physiological response to the stimulating effect of hCG on the TSH receptor with a peak hCG level between 7 and 11 weeks gestation (77). Normal serum TSH values can be as low as 0.03 mIU/mL (or even undetectable) with upper limits of 2.5 mIU/mL in the first trimester and 3.0 mIU/mL in the second and third trimesters. Any subnormal serum TSH value should be evaluated in conjunction with serum FT4. The diagnosis of clinical hyperthyroidism is confirmed in the presence of a suppressed or undetectable serum TSH and an elevated FT4.

**Question 28: How can gestational hyperthyroidism be differentiated from Graves’ hyperthyroidism in pregnancy?**

In the presence of an undetectable or very low serum TSH and elevated FT4, the differential diagnosis in the majority of cases is between Graves’ hyperthyroidism and gestational hyperthyroidism (70,71). In both situations, common clinical manifestations include palpitations, anxiety, hand tremor, and heat intolerance. A careful history and physical examination are of utmost importance in establishing the etiology. The findings of no prior history of thyroid disease and no clinical signs of Graves’ disease (goiter, endocrine ophthalmopathy) favor the diagnosis of gestational hyperthyroidism. In situations in which the clinical diagnosis is in doubt the determination of TSH receptor antibody (TRAb) is indicated. In the presence of a nodular goiter, a serum total T3 (TT3) determination is helpful in assessing the possibility of the “T3 toxicity” syndrome. Total T3 determination may also be of benefit in diagnosing T3 thyrotoxicosis caused by Graves’ disease.

- **RECOMMENDATION 22**
  In the presence of a suppressed serum TSH in the first trimester (TSH <0.1 mIU/L), a history and physical examination are indicated. FT4 measurements should be obtained in all patients. Measurement of TT3 and TRAbs may be helpful in establishing a diagnosis of hyperthyroidism. **Level B-USPSTF**

- **RECOMMENDATION 23**
  There is not enough evidence to recommend for or against the use of thyroid ultrasound in differentiating the cause of hyperthyroidism in pregnancy. **Level D-USPSTF**

- **RECOMMENDATION 24**
  Radioactive iodine (RAI) scanning or radiiodine uptake determination should not be performed in pregnancy. **Level D-USPSTF**

**Question 29: What is the appropriate management of gestational hyperthyroidism?**

The management of women with gestational hyperthyroidism depends on the severity of symptoms. In women with hyperemesis gravidarum, control of vomiting and treatment of dehydration with intravenous fluids compose the customary treatment. Women with severe hyperemesis gravidarum need frequent medical visits for management of dehydration and electrolyte abnormalities. In some cases hospitalization is required. Antithyroid drugs (ATDs) are not indicated, since the serum T4 returns to normal by 14–18 weeks gestation. The obstetrical outcome was not improved in isolated cases in which gestational hyperthyroidism was treated with ATDs (78). There are no studies reported in the literature comparing ATD therapy vs. supportive therapy. In
RECOMMENDATION 25
The appropriate management of women with gestational hyperthyroidism and hyperemesis gravidarum includes supportive therapy, management of dehydration, and hospitalization if needed. Level A-USPSTF

RECOMMENDATION 26
ATDs are not recommended for the management of gestational hyperthyroidism. Level D-USPSTF

Question 30: How should women with Graves’ disease be counseled before pregnancy?
The optimal time to conceive is once a euthyroid state is reached. Prepregnancy counseling for all patients with hyperthyroidism or a history of hyperthyroidism is imperative, and use of contraception until the disease is controlled is strongly recommended. Prior to conception, a hyperthyroid patient may be offered ablative therapy (131I or surgery) or medical therapy.

Ablative therapy. If the patient opts for ablative therapy, the following recommendations should be given. First, surgery is a reasonable option in the presence of high TRAb titers if the mother is planning pregnancy in the following 2 years. TRAb titers tend to increase following 131I therapy and remain elevated for many months (79). Second, a pregnancy test should be performed 48 hours before 131I ablation to avoid radiation exposure to the fetus. Third, conception should be delayed for 6 months post-ablation to allow time for the dose of LT4 to be adjusted to obtain target values for pregnancy (serum TSH between 0.3 and 2.5 mIU/L).

Antithyroid drugs. If the patient chooses ATD therapy, the following recommendations should be given: 1) Risks associated with both propylthiouracil (PTU) and methimazole (MMI) should be discussed; 2) PTU should be used in the first trimester of pregnancy, because of the risk of MMI embryopathy; and 3) consideration should be given to discontinuing PTU after the first trimester and switching to MMI in order to decrease the incidence of liver disease.

RECOMMENDATION 27
Thyrotoxic women should be rendered euthyroid before attempting pregnancy. Level A-USPSTF

Question 31: What is the management of patients with Graves’ hyperthyroidism in pregnancy?
Several studies have shown that obstetrical and medical complications are directly related to control of hyperthyroidism and the duration of the euthyroid state in pregnancy (80–83). Poor control of thyrotoxicosis is associated with miscarriages, pregnancy-induced hypertension, prematurity, low birth weight, intrauterine growth restriction, stillbirth, thyroid storm, and maternal congestive heart failure (84).

ATDs are the mainstay of treatment for hyperthyroidism during pregnancy (85,86). They reduce iodine organization and coupling of moniodotyrosine and diiodotyrosine, thereby inhibiting thyroid hormone synthesis. Side effects occur in 3%–5% of patients taking thioamide drugs, mostly allergic reactions such as skin rash (85). The greatest concern with the use of ATDs in pregnancy is related to teratogenic effects. Exposure to MMI may produce several congenital malformations, mainly aplasia cutis and the syndrome of “MMI embryopathy” that includes choanal or esophageal atresia and dysmorphic facies. Although very rare complications, they have not been reported with the use of PTU (87–89). Recently, a report from the Adverse Event Reporting System of the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) called attention to the risk of hepatotoxicity in patients exposed to PTU (90,91); an advisory committee recommended limiting the use of PTU to the first trimester of pregnancy (92). Other exceptions to avoiding PTU are patients with MMI allergy and in the management of thyroid storm. Hepatotoxicity may occur at any time during PTU treatment. Monitoring hepatic enzymes during administration of PTU should be considered. However, no data exist that have demonstrated that the monitoring of liver enzymes is effective in preventing fulminant PTU hepatotoxicity.

 Equivalent doses of PTU to MMI are 10:1 to 15:1 (100 mg of PTU = 7.5 to 10 mg of MMI) and those of carbimazole to MMI are 10:8 (85). The initial dose of ATDs depends on the severity of the symptoms and the degree of hyperthyroxinemia. In general, initial doses of ATDs are as follows: MMI, 5–15 mg daily; carbimazole, 10–15 mg daily; and PTU, 50–300 mg daily in divided doses.

Beta adrenergic blocking agents, such as propranolol 20–40 mg every 6–8 hours may be used for controlling hypermetabolic symptoms. The dose should be reduced as clinically indicated. In the vast majority of cases the drug can be discontinued in 2–6 weeks. Long-term treatment with beta blockers has been associated with intrauterine growth restriction, fetal bradycardia and neonatal hypoglycemia (93). One study suggested a higher rate of spontaneous abortion when both drugs were taken together, as compared with patients receiving only MMI (94). However, it was not clear that this difference was due to the medication as opposed to the underlying condition. Beta blocking drugs may also be used as preparation for thyroidectomy.

RECOMMENDATION 28
PTU is preferred for the treatment of hyperthyroidism in the first trimester. Patients on MMI should be switched to PTU if pregnancy is confirmed in the first trimester. Following the first trimester, consideration should be given to switching to MMI. Level I-USPSTF

The combination of LT4 and ATDs has not been shown to decrease the recurrence rate of Graves’ disease postpartum, results in a larger dose of ATDs in order to maintain the FT4 within the target range, and may lead to fetal hypothyroidism (95). The only indication for the combination of ATDs and LT4 is in the treatment of fetal hyperthyroidism.

RECOMMENDATION 29
A combination regimen of LT4 and an ATD should not be used in pregnancy, except in the rare situation of fetal hyperthyroidism. Level D-USPSTF
PREGNANCY AND POSTPARTUM THYROID MANAGEMENT GUIDELINES

Question 32: What tests should be performed in women treated with ATDs during pregnancy? What is the target value of FT₄?

Methylmercaptoimidazole, propylthiouracil, and carbimazole all cross the placenta. Therefore, in order to avoid a deleterious fetal impact, the aim is to maintain FT₄ values at, or just above the upper limit of normal, while utilizing the smallest possible dose of ATDs. Free T₄ and TSH should be measured approximately every 2–4 weeks at initiation of therapy and every 4–6 weeks after achieving the target value (68,96,97). When trimester-specific FT₄ values are not available, the reference ranges for non-pregnant patients are recommended. Over-treatment should be avoided because of the possibility of inducing fetal goiter and or fetal hypothyroidism (98). Serum TSH may remain undetectable through pregnancy. Serum TT₃ determination is not recommended in the management of Graves’ hyperthyroidism because normalization of maternal serum TT₃ has been reported to cause elevated serum TSH in the infants at birth (99). The exception is the woman with T₃ thyrotoxicosis, such as in the presence of a nodular goiter.

In the first trimester of pregnancy some women with Graves’ disease will experience an exacerbation of symptoms. Afterwards, the natural course of Graves’ disease is for a gradual improvement in the second and third trimesters. Typically, this will result in a need to decrease the dose of ATDs. Discontinuation of all ATD therapy is feasible in 20%–30% of patients in the last trimester of gestation (99). The exceptions are women with high levels of TRAb values, in which cases ATD therapy should be continued until delivery. Aggravation of symptoms often occurs after delivery (100).

RECOMMENDATION 30

In women being treated with ATDs in pregnancy, FT₄ and TSH should be monitored approximately every 2–6 weeks. The primary goal is a serum FT₄ at or moderately above the normal reference range. Level B-USPSTF

Question 33: What are the indications and timing for thyroidectomy in the management of Graves’ disease during pregnancy?

Thyroidectomy should be considered in cases of allergies/contraindications to both ATDs, in women requiring large doses of ATDs, and for patients who are not compliant with drug therapy. If surgery is indicated, second trimester is the optimal time. A determination of serum TRAb titers is of value at the time of surgery in order to assess the potential risk of fetal hyperthyroidism (101). Preparation with beta-blocking agents and a short course of potassium iodine solution (50–100 mg/d) are recommended (102).

RECOMMENDATION 31

Thyroidectomy in pregnancy is rarely indicated. If required, the optimal time for thyroidectomy is in the second trimester. Level A-USPSTF

Question 34: What is the value of TRAb measurement in the evaluation of a pregnant woman with Graves’ hyperthyroidism?

Fetal risks for women with active Graves’ hyperthyroidism and those who received ablative therapy are 1) fetal hyperthyroidism, 2) neonatal hyperthyroidism, 3) fetal hypothyroidism, 4) neonatal hypothyroidism, and 5) central hypothyroidism. The above potential complications depend on several factors: 1) poor control of hyperthyroidism throughout pregnancy may induce transient central hypothyroidism (103,104); 2) excessive amounts of ATDs are responsible for fetal and neonatal hypothyroidism (105), and 3) high titers of serum TRAb between 22 and 26 weeks gestation are risk factors for fetal or neonatal hyperthyroidism (106–109). TRAb are present in over 95% of patients with active Graves’ hyperthyroidism and high titers may remain still elevated following ablative therapy (79). Indications for ordering a TRAb test in Graves’ disease include: 1) mother with active hyperthyroidism, 2) previous history of treatment with radioiodine, 3) previous history of delivering an infant with hyperthyroidism, and 4) thyroidectomy for treatment of hyperthyroidism in pregnancy (101). The titers of antibodies decrease with the progression of the pregnancy. The prevalence of fetal and neonatal hyperthyroidism is between 1% and 5% of all women with active or past history of Graves’ hyperthyroidism and is associated with increased fetal and neonatal morbidity and mortality if unrecognized and untreated (110).

A determination of serum TRAb by 24–28 weeks gestation is helpful in detecting pregnancies at risk. A value over three times the upper limit of normal is an indication for close follow-up of the fetus, optimally with the collaboration of a maternal–fetal medicine physician. Some clinicians recommend to perform the test in the first trimester (106) and if elevated repeat the determination at 22–26 weeks gestation, while others prefer a single determination at 24–28 weeks gestation (68) because of the normal decline in antibody concentration, which starts at approximately 20 weeks gestation.

RECOMMENDATION 32

If the patient has a past or present history of Graves’ disease, a maternal serum determination of TRAb should be obtained at 20–24 weeks gestation. Level B-USPSTF

Question 35: Under what circumstances should additional fetal ultrasound monitoring for growth, heart rate, and goiter be performed in women with Graves’ hyperthyroidism in pregnancy?

Serial ultrasound examinations may be performed for the assessment of gestational age, fetal viability, amniotic fluid volume, fetal anatomy, and detection of malformations. Fetal well-being may be compromised in the presence of elevated TRAb, uncontrolled hyperthyroidism, and pre-eclampsia (80,83,111,112). Signs of potential fetal hyperthyroidism that may be detected by ultrasongraphy include fetal tachycardia (bpm >170, persistent for over 10 minutes), intrauterine growth restriction, presence of fetal goiter (the earliest sonographic sign of fetal thyroid dysfunction), accelerated bone maturation, signs of congestive heart failure, and fetal hydrops (106,111–114). A team approach to the management of these patients is required including an experienced obstetrician or maternal–fetal medicine specialist, neonatologist, and anesthesiologist. In most cases, the diagnosis of fetal hyperthyroidism should be made on clinical grounds based on maternal history, interpretation of serum TRAb levels, and fetal ultrasonography (68,106,112,113).
Fetal surveillance with serial ultrasounds should be performed in women who have uncontrolled hyperthyroidism and/or women with high TRAB levels (greater than three times the upper limit of normal). A consultation with an experienced obstetrician or maternal-fetal medicine specialist is optimal. Such monitoring may include ultrasound for heart rate, growth, amniotic fluid volume, and fetal goiter. 

**RECOMMENDATION 34**

Cordocentesis should be used in extremely rare circumstances and performed in an appropriate setting. It may occasionally be of use when fetal goiter is detected in women taking ATDs to help determine whether the fetus is hyperthyroid or hypothyroid. 

**Question 36: When should umbilical blood sampling be considered in women with Graves’ disease in pregnancy?**

Umbilical cord blood sampling (cordocentesis) is associated with both fetal mortality and morbidity (114,115). It has been utilized when a mother is TRAb+ and treated with ATDs, a fetal goiter is present, and the thyroid status of the fetus is unclear (106,116). The presence of TRAb is not an indication for cordocentesis (117).

**RECOMMENDATION 35**

Maternal dietary iodine deficiency results in impaired thyroid hormone synthesis. Low thyroid hormone levels before and during pregnancy have adequate in-

**Clinical Guidelines for Iodine Nutrition**

**Question 38: How should Graves’ hyperthyroidism be treated in lactating women?**

Because of increased thyroid hormone production, increased renal iodine excretion, and fetal iodine requirements, dietary iodine requirements are higher in pregnancy than they are for nonpregnant adults (125). Women with adequate iodine intake before and during pregnancy have adequate in-trathyroidal iodine stores and have no difficulty adapting to the increased demand for thyroid hormone during gestation. In these women, total body iodine levels remain stable throughout pregnancy (126). However, in areas of even mild to moderate iodine deficiency, total body iodine stores, as reflected by urinary iodine values, decline gradually from the first to the third trimester of pregnancy (127). Iodine, required for infant nutrition, is secreted into breast milk. Therefore, lactating women also have increased dietary iodine requirements (128,129).

Spot urinary iodine values are used most frequently for determination of iodine status in general populations. A limitation of urinary iodine testing is that identifying particular individuals at risk for iodine deficiency is problematic because there is substantial diurnal and day-to-day variation in urinary iodine excretion (129).

**Question 39: What is the impact of severe iodine deficiency on the mother, fetus, and child?**

Maternal dietary iodine deficiency results in impaired maternal and fetal thyroid hormone synthesis. Low thyroid
hormone values stimulate increased pituitary TSH production, and the increased TSH stimulates thyroid growth, resulting in maternal and fetal goiter (130). Severe iodine deficiency in pregnant women has been associated with increased rates of miscarriage, stillbirth, and increased perinatal and infant mortality (131).

Normal levels of thyroid hormone are essential for neuronal migration and myelination of the fetal brain. Thyroid hormones are needed throughout pregnancy and in particular between the third and fifth months of intrauterine life. As iodine deficiency affects both maternal and fetal thyroid, both sources of thyroid hormone production may be affected. Maternal and fetal iodine deficiency in pregnancy and neonatal iodine deficiency have adverse effects on the cognitive function of offspring (132–135). Children whose mothers were severely iodine deficient during pregnancy may exhibit cretinism, characterized by profound mental retardation, deaf-mutism, and motor rigidity. Iodine deficiency is the leading cause of preventable mental retardation worldwide (136).

**Question 42: What is the impact of mild to moderate iodine deficiency on the mother, fetus, and child?**

Groups of pregnant women whose median urinary iodine concentrations are 50–150 μg/L are defined as mildly to moderately iodine deficient. Women with mild to moderate iodine deficiency during pregnancy are at increased risk for the development of goiter (130). In addition, decreased thyroid hormone associated with even mild to moderate iodine deficiency may have adverse effects on the cognitive function of the offspring (132–134). Mild to moderate maternal iodine deficiency has also been associated with attention deficit and hyperactivity disorders (137).

**Question 43: What is the iodine status of pregnant and breastfeeding women in the United States?**

Surveillance of urinary iodine values of the U.S. population has been carried out at intervals since 1971. Following a precipitous drop in urinary iodine values between 1971 and 1994, U.S. dietary iodine intake has stabilized (138–142). The U.S. population overall remains iodine sufficient. However, U.S. women of reproductive age are the most likely group to have low urinary iodine values.

According to the World Health Organization (WHO) guidelines, median urinary iodine values for pregnant women between 149 and 249 μg/L are consistent with optimal iodine intake (132). In the 2001–2006 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) surveys, the median urinary iodine concentration among 326 pregnant women was marginal at 153 μg/L and 17% of pregnant women had urinary iodine concentrations <50 μg/L (143). It is not clear whether these women were truly iodine deficient or whether their low values just represented random fluctuation. Current data regarding iodine sufficiency among lactating U.S. women are very limited. It is possible that a subset of pregnant and lactating U.S. women may have mildly to moderately inadequate dietary iodine intake resulting in insufficient amounts of iodine in the breast milk to meet infants‘ dietary requirements (144,145).

**Question 44: What is the iodine status of pregnant and breastfeeding women worldwide?**

Since 1990, the number of households worldwide using iodized salt has risen from less than 20% to more than 70% (146). Despite these advances, however, iodine deficiency affects over 2.2 billion individuals globally, especially in South Asian, East Asia Pacific, and East and South African regions, and remains the leading cause of preventable intellectual deficits (134).

**Question 45: Does iodine supplementation in pregnancy and lactation improve outcomes in severe iodine deficiency?**

In areas of severe iodine deficiency, iodine supplementation of mothers prior to conception or in early pregnancy resulted in children with improved cognitive performance relative to those given a placebo (147–149). The prevalence of cretinism and other severe neurological abnormalities is significantly reduced (150). Maternal iodine supplementation in severely iodine-deficient areas also decreases rates of stillbirth and neonatal and infant mortality (151,152).

**Question 46: Does iodine supplementation in pregnancy and lactation improve outcomes in mildly to moderately iodine-deficient women?**

Eight controlled trials of iodine supplementation in mildly to moderately iodine-deficient pregnant European women have been published (153–160), although doses and timing of iodine supplementation varied and only two trials examined effects on offspring development. Iodine supplementation of moderately deficient pregnant women appears to consistently decrease maternal and neonatal thyroid volumes and Tg levels. Effects on maternal thyroid function have been mixed, with significant maternal TSH decreases with supplementation described in four (149,151,152,154) of the eight published trials, and increases in maternal T₄ or FT₄ noted in just two (151,154).

In both studies where assessed, neurodevelopmental outcomes were improved in children from mildly to moderately iodine-deficient areas whose mothers received iodine supplementation early in pregnancy (148,154). The timing of supplementation is likely to be critical because the beneficial effects of iodine on offspring development appeared to be lost if supplementation is started after 10–20 weeks gestation.

No trials to date have specifically examined the effects of iodine supplementation in lactation.

**Question 47: What is the recommended daily iodine intake in women planning pregnancy, women who are pregnant, and women who are breastfeeding?**

Iodine is an essential nutrient required for thyroid hormone production and is primarily derived from the diet and from vitamin/mineral preparations. The Institute of Medicine recommended dietary allowances to be used as goals for individual total daily iodine intake (dietary and supplement) are 150 μg/d for women planning a pregnancy, 220 μg/d for pregnant women, and 290 μg/d for women who are breastfeeding (161). WHO recommends 250 μg/d for pregnant women and for lactating women (130).

Dietary iodine sources vary regionally. Sources of iodine in the U.S. diet have been difficult to identify, in part because there are a wide variety of potential sources and food iodine...
content is not listed on packaging. Iodized salt remains the mainstay of iodine deficiency disorder eradication efforts worldwide. However, salt iodization has never been mandated in the United States and only approximately 70% of salt sold for household use in the United States is iodized (162). In the U.S. dairy foods are another important source of dietary iodine due to the use of iodophor disinfectants by the dairy industry (163–165). Commercially baked breads have been another major source of iodine in the United States due to the use of iodate bread conditioners (165). However, the use of iodate bread conditioners has decreased over the past several decades. Other sources of iodine in the U.S. diet are seafood, eggs, meat, and poultry (166). Foods of marine origin have higher concentrations of iodine because marine animals concentrate iodine from seawater (155–157).

In the United States, the dietary iodine intake of individuals cannot be reliably ascertained either by patient history or by any laboratory measure. Due to concerns that a subset of pregnant U.S. women may be mildly to moderately iodine deficient and an inability to identify individual women who may be at risk, the ATA has previously recommended 150 μg daily as iodine supplementation for all North American women who are pregnant or breastfeeding (167). The goal is supplementation of, rather than replacement for, dietary iodine intake.

Recommendations regarding iodine supplementation in North America have not been widely adopted. In the NHANES 2001–2006 database, only 20% of pregnant women and 15% of lactating women reported ingesting iodine-containing supplements (168). Of the 223 types of prenatal multivitamins available in the United States, only 51% contain any iodine (169). Iodine in U.S. prenatal multivitamins is typically derived either from potassium iodide (KI) or from kelp. The iodine content in prenatal multivitamin brands containing kelp may be inconsistent due to variability in kelp iodine content (162).

**RECOMMENDATION 36**

All pregnant and lactating women should ingest a minimum of 250 μg iodine daily. Level A-USPSTF

**RECOMMENDATION 37**

To achieve a total of 250 μg iodine ingestion daily in North America all women who are to be pregnant or are pregnant or breastfeeding should supplement their diet with a daily oral supplement that contains 150 μg of iodine. This is optimally delivered in the form of potassium iodide because kelp and other forms of seaweed do not provide a consistent delivery of daily iodide. Level B-USPSTF

**RECOMMENDATION 38**

In areas of the world outside of North America, strategies for ensuring adequate iodine intake during preconception, pregnancy, and lactation should vary according to regional dietary patterns and availability of iodized salt. Level A-USPSTF

**Question 48: What is the safe upper limit for iodine consumption in pregnant and breastfeeding women?**

Most people are tolerant of chronic excess dietary iodine intake due to a homeostatic mechanism known as the Wolff–Chaikoff effect (170,171). In response to a large iodine load, there is a transient inhibition of thyroid hormone synthesis. Following several days of continued exposure to high iodine levels, escape from the acute Wolff–Chaikoff effect is mediated by a decrease in the active transport of iodine into the thyroid gland, and thyroid hormone production resumes at normal levels (172).

Some individuals do not appropriately escape from the acute Wolff–Chaikoff effect, making them susceptible to hypothyroidism in the setting of high iodine intake. The fetus may be particularly susceptible, since the ability to escape from the acute Wolff–Chaikoff effect does not fully mature until about week 36 of gestation (173,174).

Tolerable upper intake levels for iodine have been established to determine the highest level of daily nutrient intake that is likely to be tolerated biologically and to pose no risk of adverse health effects for almost all individuals in the general population. The upper intake levels are based on total intake of a nutrient from food, water, and supplements and apply to chronic daily use. The U.S. Institute of Medicine has defined the tolerable upper limit for daily iodine intake as 1100 μg/d in all adults, including pregnant women (1.1 mg/d) (155) and WHO has stated that daily iodine intake >500 μg may be excessive in pregnancy, but these maximal values are based on limited data.

Medications may be a source of excessive iodine intake for some individuals. Amiodarone, an antiarrhythmic agent (175), contains 75 mg iodine per 200 mg tablet. Iodinated intravenous radiographic contrast agents contain up to 380 mg of iodine per milliliter. Some topical antiseptics contain iodine, although systemic absorption is generally not clinically significant in adults except in patients with severe burns (176). Iodine-containing anti-asthmatic medications and expectorants are occasionally used. In addition, some dietary supplements may contain large amounts of iodine.

**RECOMMENDATION 39**

Pharmacologic doses of iodine exposure during pregnancy should be avoided, except in preparation for thyroid surgery for Graves’ disease. Clinicians should carefully weigh the risks and benefits when ordering medications or diagnostic tests that will result in high iodine exposure. Level C-USPSTF

**RECOMMENDATION 40**

Sustained iodine intake from diet and dietary supplements exceeding 500–1100 μg daily should be avoided due to concerns about the potential for fetal hypothyroidism. Level C-USPSTF

**Spontaneous Pregnancy Loss, Preterm Delivery, and Thyroid Antibodies**

**Thyroid antibodies and pregnancy loss.** Spontaneous pregnancy loss, or miscarriage, has been reported to occur in between 17% and 31% of all gestations (172,177). A spontaneous pregnancy loss is defined as one occurring at less than 20 weeks of gestation. The individual risk varies by a number of clinical factors including maternal age, family history, environmental exposures, and medical comorbidities (178). Pregnancy losses are a significant emotional burden to patients and may also result in bleeding, infections, pain, and surgical procedures.
**Question 49: Is there an association between thyroid antibody positivity and sporadic spontaneous abortion in euthyroid women?**

Endocrine disorders have been previously recognized as risk factors for spontaneous pregnancy loss. Patients with poorly controlled diabetes mellitus may have up to a 50% risk of loss (179). Thyroid dysfunction has also been associated with increased rates of pregnancy loss (25,180). Stagnaro-Green and colleagues (181) published the first paper that demonstrated an association between pregnancy loss and thyroid antibodies. In that prospective observational study, patients who were positive for thyroid antibodies (TPO and Tg) had a twofold increase in the risk of a pregnancy loss (17% vs. 8.4%, p = 0.011). Iijima and colleagues (182) also reported a nearly twofold increase in spontaneous pregnancy loss in patients who were positive for anti-microsomal antibodies. Glinier and colleagues (183) reported a fourfold increase in pregnancy loss (13.3 vs. 3.3%, p < .001) with the presence of TPOAb. Other authors have reported similar findings (184,185). Sezer and colleagues (186), in a small prospective study, reported no increase in pregnancy loss in women with thyroid auto-antibodies (28.6% vs. 20%, p = ns). However, they did find a higher titer of anti-Tg antibodies in pregnancies that ended in abortion compared with those that went to term.

A meta-analysis of 8 case-control and 10 longitudinal studies demonstrated a clear association between thyroid antibodies and spontaneous abortion (OR 2.30, 95% CI 1.80–2.95) (187). The meta-analysis also reported that TAb+ women were slightly older (0.7 years) and had a slightly higher TSH (0.81) than antibody-negative women. A review of the studies also reveals that there was an unusually low rate of pregnancy loss in the control groups. Although a clear association has been made between thyroid antibodies and abortion, it does not prove causality. Three research groups have demonstrated a possible mechanism through increased fetal resorption in an active immunization murine model with either Tg or TPO antibodies (188–190).

**Question 50: Should women be screened for TPO antibodies before or during pregnancy with the goal of treating TPOA+ euthyroid women with LT4 to decrease the rate of spontaneous miscarriage?**

Negro and colleagues (28) reported a prospective, randomized interventional trial of LT4 in euthyroid patients who were TPOAb+. They reported a significantly decreased rate of pregnancy loss in the treated group (3.5% vs. 13.8%, p < .05). A limitation of the study is that the mean gestational age of starting LT4 was 10 weeks estimated gestational age, and all but one of the losses had occurred at less than 11 weeks.

**RECOMMENDATION 41**

There is insufficient evidence to recommend for or against screening all women for thyroid antibodies in the first trimester of pregnancy. Level I-USPSTF

**Question 51: Is there an association between thyroid antibodies and recurrent spontaneous abortion in euthyroid women?**

Recurrent pregnancy loss is defined as either two consecutive losses or three total spontaneous losses and may occur in up to 1% of all women (191). Several causes have been reported, including parental chromosomal anomalies, immunologic derangements, uterine pathology, and endocrine dysfunction (192). In a case-control study, Irivani and colleagues (193) reported that patients with primary recurrent pregnancy losses (three or more) had a higher prevalence of thyroid antibody positivity (OR 2.24, 95% CI 1.5–3.3). Kutteh et al. (194) reported similar findings with an increased rate of thyroid antibody positivity in 700 women with recurrent abortion as compared with 200 healthy controls (22.5% vs. 14.5%, p = 0.01). On the other hand, in a prospective observational study, Esplin and colleagues (195) demonstrated no difference in thyroid antibody positivity between patients with recurrent pregnancy loss and healthy controls. Pratt and colleagues reported a higher rate of subsequent pregnancy loss in patients with recurrent losses and thyroid antibody positivity (196). In a larger trial with a similar population, Rushworth and colleagues (197) reported no significant difference in live birth rates between women with recurrent losses who were positive for thyroid antibodies and those who were not.

The data for an association between thyroid antibodies and recurrent pregnancy loss are less robust than for sporadic loss and somewhat contradictory. This may be because recurrent pregnancy loss has many potential causes, and endocrine dysfunction may only account for 15%–20% of all cases (192). Many of the previously mentioned trials did not control for other potential causes of recurrent losses. One intriguing study reported an apparent interaction of anti-phospholipid antibodies and thyroid antibodies in the risk of recurrent pregnancy loss (198).

**Question 52: Should women with recurrent abortion be screened for thyroid antibodies before or during pregnancy with the goal of treating TAb+ euthyroid women with LT4 or intravenous immunoglobulin therapy (IVIG) to decrease the rate of recurrent spontaneous abortion?**

Three small nonrandomized case series have been published on the use of intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIG) therapy for the prevention of recurrent pregnancy loss in women with thyroid antibodies (199–201). The live birth rates ranged from 80% to 95%, and the one study with a control group (consisting of women who refused IVIG therapy) reported a highly significant improvement in live births in the IVIG-treated cohort (95% vs. 0% p = .001) (200). Comparison of LT4 intervention with IVIG in one study resulted in a higher rate of term delivery in the LT4-treated group (201). However, all three studies had serious design flaws including small sample size, heterogeneous patient populations, lack of or limited randomization, and differences when treatment was initiated. In summary, intervention trials with IVIG or LT4 in TAb+ women with recurrent abortion have shown a decrease in the recurrent abortion rate but are limited by methodological problems.

**RECOMMENDATION 42**

There is insufficient evidence to recommend for or against screening for thyroid antibodies, or treating in the first trimester of pregnancy with LT4 or IVIG, in euthyroid women with sporadic or recurrent abortion or in women undergoing in vitro fertilization (IVF). Level I-USPSTF
Question 53: Should euthyroid women who are known to be positive for thyroid antibodies either before or during pregnancy be treated with LT4 in order to decrease the chance of sporadic or recurrent miscarriage?

■ RECOMMENDATION 43
There is insufficient evidence to recommend for or against LT4 therapy in TAb+ euthyroid women during pregnancy. Level I-USPSTF

Question 54: Is there an association between thyroid antibody positivity and pregnancy loss in euthyroid women undergoing IVF?

Several authors have reported an increased risk of pregnancy loss after assisted reproductive procedures in women who are positive for thyroid antibodies (202–204). Other authors have found no association (205,206). A meta-analysis of four trials of patients undergoing IVF found an increased risk of pregnancy loss with the presence of thyroid antibodies (RR 1.99, 95% CI 1.42–2.79) (207).

Question 55: Should women undergoing IVF be screened for TPO antibodies before or during pregnancy?

Negro et al. (208) performed a prospective placebo-controlled intervention trial of LT4 in TPOAb+ women undergoing assisted reproduction technologies. Though underpowered for its proposed endpoint, no difference in pregnancy loss was observed. Patients undergoing assisted reproductive procedures for infertility may have a number of reasons for infertility or subfertility, and this may explain the conflicting data.

■ RECOMMENDATION 44
There is insufficient evidence to recommend for or against LT4 therapy in euthyroid TAb+ women undergoing assisted reproduction technologies. Level I-USPSTF

Question 56: Is there an association between thyroid antibodies and preterm delivery in euthyroid women?

Preterm delivery, or birth prior to 37 weeks, affects 12.3% of pregnancies in the United States (209). It remains one of the most prevalent and morbid perinatal complications. It is the leading cause of neonatal death and the second leading cause of infant death (210). The cost of preterm delivery to the health care system is enormous (211). Preterm birth has remained difficult to predict, prevent, and treat primarily because there are multiple potential causes and pathways that end in premature labor (212). Examples include infection, trauma, cervical insufficiency, premature rupture of membranes, and maternal medical conditions.

Medical conditions such as hypertension and diabetes have been associated with a risk of preterm delivery, either due to the spontaneous onset of labor or from complications prompting medically indicated delivery. Patients with uncontrolled hyperthyroidism also have higher rates of preterm delivery, most commonly due to medical intervention (80,213). The most severe example of uncontrolled hyperthyroidism, thyroid storm, results in high rates of preterm labor and delivery (214).

The relationship of thyroid antibodies and preterm delivery has also been investigated. Glinoer et al. (183) reported in a prospective cohort that women who were positive for either TPOAb or TgAb had a significantly increased prevalence of preterm birth (16% vs. 8%, p < 0.005). Ghafoor et al. (215) evaluated 1500 euthyroid women and found an increase in preterm delivery in TPOAb+ women as compared with women who were TPOAb− (26.8% vs. 8.0%, p < 0.01). In contrast, Iijima et al. (182) did not find an increased risk for preterm birth in women positive for seven different autoantibodies and thyroid antibodies. This study had an unusually low rate of preterm birth in both study and control groups (3% vs. 3.1%). Interestingly, Haddow et al. (216) reported a significant increase in preterm premature rupture of the membranes in TAb+ women but not in preterm birth among women who were positive for TPOAb and TgAb in the first trimester. Their data revealed a positive association between very preterm delivery (<32 weeks) and thyroid antibody positivity (OR 1.73, 95% CI 1.00–2.97). However, the adjusted odds ratio for very preterm delivery and thyroid antibody positivity failed to reach statistical significance (adjusted OR 1.70, 95% CI 0.98–2.94).

Question 57: Should women be screened for thyroid antibodies before or during pregnancy with the goal of treating TAb+ euthyroid women with LT4 to decrease the rate of preterm delivery?

Negro et al. (28) reported an increased risk of preterm delivery among euthyroid TPOAb+ women compared with euthyroid TPOAb− women in the only published prospective interventional trial to date (22.4% vs. 8.2%, p < .01). The TPOAb+ subjects were then randomized to either treatment with LT4 or no treatment, with the dose based on TSH level. The treated group had a significantly lower rate of preterm delivery than did the untreated group (7% vs. 22.4%, p < .05).

■ RECOMMENDATION 45
There is insufficient evidence to recommend for or against screening for thyroid antibodies in the first trimester of pregnancy, or treating TAb+ euthyroid women with LT4 to prevent preterm delivery. Level I-USPSTF

Thyroid Nodules and Thyroid Cancer

Thyroid nodules and thyroid cancer discovered during pregnancy present unique challenges to both the clinician and the mother. A careful balance is required between making a definitive diagnosis and instituting treatment, while avoiding interventions that may adversely impact the mother, the health of the fetus, or the maintenance of the pregnancy.

Question 58: What is the frequency of thyroid nodules during pregnancy?

Three studies have evaluated the prevalence of thyroid nodules during pregnancy, the impact of pregnancy on nodular size, and the percentage of women who have new nodules detected during pregnancy. All three studies were performed in areas with mild to moderate iodine deficiency
( Brussels, China, and Germany). The prevalence of thyroid nodules varied between 3% and 21% (183, 217, 218) and increased with increasing parity (9.4% without a prior pregnancy, 20.7% with one prior pregnancy, 20.7% with two prior pregnancies, and 33.9% with three or more prior pregnancies) (217). In the Belgian study, 60% of the nodules doubled in size during pregnancy yet remained between 5 and 12 mm (183). No increase throughout pregnancy was noted in the maximum diameter of the dominant nodule in the Chinese study (mean diameter was 5.1, 5.1, and 5.5 mm, in the first, second, and third trimesters, respectively). However, an increase in nodular volume was reported during pregnancy with a return to first trimester volumes by the third postpartum month (218). The studies in Belgium and Germany reported that 11%–20% of women with a nodule detected in the first trimester of pregnancy developed a second nodule through the course of pregnancy (183, 218). Increasing age is associated with an increase in the percentage of women who have thyroid nodules present during pregnancy (183, 218).

**Question 59: What is the frequency of thyroid cancer in women with thyroid nodules discovered during pregnancy?**

Data regarding the prevalence of thyroid cancer derive from three retrospective studies performed at three tertiary referral centers (Mayo Clinic, George Washington University Hospital, and Mount Sinai Hospital-Toronto), one prospective study, and a retrospective study of the California Cancer Registry. The research performed at the referral centers revealed a 15% (6/40, Mayo Clinic) (219), 12% (7/57, George Washington Hospital) (220), and 43% (7/16, Mount Sinai Hospital-Toronto) (221) rate of thyroid malignancy. All three studies are limited by two major methodological flaws. The first, and most problematic, is selection bias. The population study consisted of women referred for diagnosis and treatment at major referral centers. As such they are not representative of the population of pregnant women with thyroid nodules detected during pregnancy. Instead, they represent a select group of women referred to a tertiary medical center due to physician concern of thyroid malignancy. Consequently, they overrepresent the prevalence of thyroid malignancy during pregnancy. Secondly, each study was retrospective in nature; therefore, neither the accuracy of the diagnosis nor the completeness of case identification within the database could be verified. The lone prospective study investigated the prevalence of thyroid cancer during pregnancy in 212 Chinese women. The study found a 15.3% (34/221) rate of thyroid nodules and a 0% rate of malignancy. Interpreting these data is hampered by the limited number of women enrolled in the study (218). The final study consisted of a population-based retrospective analysis of all obstetrical deliveries in California between the years 1991 through 1999 identified by cross-referencing maternal/neonatal hospital discharges in California and the California Cancer Registry (n = 4,846,505 women). A prevalence of thyroid cancer in pregnancy of 14.4/100,000 was reported, with papillary cancer being the most frequent pathological type (222). Timing of diagnosis of the thyroid malignancy was as follows: 3.3/100,000 cases diagnosed before delivery, 0.3/100,000 at delivery, and 10.8/100,000 within 1 year postpartum.

**Question 60: What is the optimal diagnostic strategy for thyroid nodules detected during pregnancy?**

History and physical examination. The patient with a thyroid nodule should be asked about a family history of benign or malignant thyroid disease, familial medullary thyroid carcinoma, multiple endocrine neoplasia type 2 (MEN 2), familial papillary thyroid carcinoma, and familial polyposis coli (223, 224). Previous disease or treatment involving the neck (history of head and neck irradiation during childhood), rapidity of onset, and rate of nodule growth should be documented (225). A progressive nodule growth warrants a fine-needle aspiration (FNA), while persistent cough or dysphonia may suggest a malignant lesion (224). Thorough palpation of the thyroid and neck inspection for cervical nodes are essential (226).

Ultrasound. Thyroid ultrasound is the most accurate tool for detecting thyroid nodules, determining their features, monitoring their growth, and evaluating cervical lymph nodes. Nodules smaller than 10 mm do not require a FNA unless suspicious for malignancy on ultrasound or clinical grounds (227). Ultrasonographic features suggestive of malignancy include a hypoechoic pattern, irregular margins, chaotic intranodular vascular spots, nodules that are taller than they are wide, and microcalcifications (228). The presence of two or more suspicious sonographic criteria reliably identifies most neoplastic lesions of the thyroid gland (87%–93% of cases) (229). Ultrasonographic features suggestive of malignancy or with extracapsular growth or metastatic lymph nodes warrant FNA evaluation. FNA of nodules that appear benign on ultrasound can be deferred until postpartum.

Thyroid function tests. All women with a thyroid nodule should have a TSH and FT4 performed (6, 230, 231). Thyroid function tests are usually normal in women with thyroid cancer.

**RECOMMENDATION 46**

The optimal diagnostic strategy for thyroid nodules detected during pregnancy is based on risk stratification. All women should have the following: a complete history and clinical examination, serum TSH testing, and ultrasound of the neck. **Level A-USPSTF**

As with the general population, the routine measurement of calcitonin remains controversial (232). Calcitonin measurement should be performed in pregnant women with a family history of medullary thyroid carcinoma or MEN 2. However, the utility of measuring calcitonin in all pregnant women with thyroid nodules has not been evaluated in the literature. The pentagastrin stimulation test is contraindicated in pregnancy (233).

**RECOMMENDATION 47**

The utility of measuring calcitonin in pregnant women with thyroid nodules is unknown. **Level I-USPSTF**

Fine-needle aspiration. FNA is a safe diagnostic tool in pregnancy and may be performed in any trimester (229, 234–242). Two retrospective case series of FNAs performed during pregnancy, involving a total of 94 patients, have been...
published. In the cases in which surgery was performed, pathological examination of the specimens confirmed the diagnosis of all FNAs classified by cytology as either benign or malignant. Six of the 16 (37.5%) cases reported by cytology as suspicious for malignancy were found to be malignant at pathological examination (219,220). Pregnancy does not appear to increase the difficulty of making a cytological diagnosis of thyroid tissue obtained by FNA. There have been no prospective studies which have evaluated the reliability of FNA in pregnancy.

- **RECOMMENDATION 48**
  Thyroid or lymph node FNA confers no additional risks to a pregnancy. Level A-USPSTF

- **RECOMMENDATION 49**
  Thyroid nodules discovered during pregnancy that have suspicious ultrasound features, as delineated by the 2009 ATA guidelines, should be considered for FNA. In instances in which nodules are likely benign, FNA may be deferred until after delivery based on patients’ preference. Level I-USPSTF

  Radionuclide scanning. The use of radionuclide scanning is contraindicated during pregnancy (243–245). Inadvertent scanning performed prior to 12 weeks gestation does not appear to damage the fetal thyroid.

- **RECOMMENDATION 50**
  The use of radioiodine imaging and/or uptake determination or therapeutic dosing is contraindicated during pregnancy. Inadvertent use of radioiodine prior to 12 weeks of gestation does not appear to damage the fetal thyroid. Level A-USPSTF

**Question 61: Does pregnancy impact the prognosis of thyroid carcinoma?**

Seven studies have compared the prognosis of women with differentiated thyroid cancer (DTC) diagnosed either during pregnancy or within the first postpartum year to women diagnosed with thyroid carcinoma at another time period (controls) (246–252). In six of the studies the prognosis, defined as either tumor recurrence or death, of women diagnosed during pregnancy/postpartum did not differ from controls. The studies ranged in size from 9 to 595 thyroid cancer cases diagnosed during pregnancy/postpartum and 462 to 2270 controls. Prognosis in women diagnosed with thyroid carcinoma while pregnant did not differ based on whether surgery was performed during pregnancy or deferred until postpartum. In contrast with these studies, a 2010 publication reported that women diagnosed with DTC during pregnancy or within 1 year following delivery \((n = 15)\), had a poorer prognosis in terms of persistence and relapse of disease compared with women diagnosed with welldifferentiated thyroid cancer either prior to pregnancy \((n = 61)\) or 1 year following delivery \((n = 47)\) (252). Estrogen receptor \(x\) was present in the tumors of the majority of women diagnosed during pregnancy/postpartum as compared with the other two groups, which may indicate that the poorer prognosis is related to estrogen-mediated growth.

In conclusion, the majority of studies indicate that pregnancy does not worsen the prognosis in women diagnosed with DTC. Surgery for DTC diagnosed during pregnancy can be postponed until postpartum without impacting tumor recurrence or mortality. It should be noted that none of the studies were randomized controlled trials, all were retrospective, and the size of many of the studies was limited. The impact on prognosis of estrogen receptor \(x\) positivity requires further evaluation. The impact of pregnancy on women with medullary or anaplastic carcinoma is unknown.

- **RECOMMENDATION 51**
  Because the prognosis of women with well-differentiated thyroid cancer identified but not treated during pregnancy is similar to that of nonpregnant patients, surgery may be generally deferred until postpartum. Level B-USPSTF

- **RECOMMENDATION 52**
  The impact of pregnancy on women with medullary carcinoma is unknown. Surgery is recommended during pregnancy in the presence of a large primary tumor or extensive lymph node metastases. Level I-USPSTF

**Question 62: What are the perioperative risks to mother and fetus of surgery for thyroid cancer during pregnancy?**

Surgery is the treatment of choice for DTC. Deferring surgery until postpartum has not been associated with a worse prognosis, so it is imperative to assess maternal and neonatal complications before advising an operation during pregnancy. Between 1986 and 2008 nine studies evaluated the impact of thyroidectomy during pregnancy on a total of 113 patients (study size ranged from 1 to 96) (219,223–227,253–255). The majority, but not all, of the operations were performed in the second trimester. There were no maternal or fetal complications in any of the studies. Recently (2009), a population-based study compared 201 pregnant women who underwent thyroid and parathyroid surgery during pregnancy with 31,155 similarly treated nonpregnant women (256). One hundred sixty-five operations were thyroid related and 46% of the women had thyroid cancer. Pregnant patients had a higher rate of endocrine and general complications, longer lengths of stay, and higher hospital costs. The fetal and maternal complication rates were 5.5% and 4.5%, respectively. Interpretation of the results of this study is difficult because there were substantial baseline differences between the two groups. Pregnant women were more likely to have either urgent or emergent admissions and had a higher percentage of government insurance. In situations in which surgery during pregnancy is indicated or desired, it should be performed in the second trimester in order to minimize complications to both the mother and fetus (altered organogenesis and spontaneous abortion in the first trimester; preterm labor and delivery in the third trimester) (257). The risk of post-thyroidectomy maternal hypothyroidism and hypoparathyroidism should also be considered.

- **RECOMMENDATION 53**
  Surgery for thyroid carcinoma during the second trimester of pregnancy has not been demonstrated to be associated with increased maternal or fetal risk. Level B-USPSTF
Question 63: How should benign thyroid nodules be managed during pregnancy?

Although pregnancy is a risk factor for progression of nodular thyroid disease, there is no evidence demonstrating that LT$_4$ is effective in decreasing the size or arresting the growth of thyroid nodules during pregnancy. Hence, LT$_4$ suppressive therapy for thyroid nodules is not recommended during pregnancy. Nodules that on FNA were benign but show rapid growth or ultrasound changes suspicious for malignancy should have a repeat FNA and be considered for surgical intervention. In the absence of rapid growth, nodules with biopsies that are either benign or indeterminate do not require surgery during pregnancy (258).

Surgery for benign nodules must be considered in cases of large nodules with tracheal or esophageal compression.

**RECOMMENDATION 54**

Pregnant women with thyroid nodules that are read as benign on FNA cytology do not require surgery during pregnancy except in cases of rapid nodule growth and/or if severe compressive symptoms develop. Postpartum, nodules should be managed according to the 2009 ATA guidelines. Level B-USPSTF

Question 64: How should DTC be managed during pregnancy?

Recently published ATA Guidelines (258) recommend that a nodule with cytology indicating papillary thyroid carcinoma discovered early in pregnancy should be monitored sonographically. If it grows substantially by 24 weeks gestation (50% in volume and 20% in diameter in two dimensions), surgery should be performed. However, if it remains stable by midgestation or if it is diagnosed in the second half of pregnancy, surgery may be performed after delivery. In patients with more advanced disease, surgery in the second trimester is a viable option.

If surgery is deferred until postpartum, thyroid hormone suppression therapy may be considered for patients with an FNA biopsy diagnostic of a DTC (258). The goal of LT$_4$ therapy is to keep TSH in the low-normal range of 0.1–1.5 mIU/L.

**RECOMMENDATION 55**

When a decision has been made to defer surgery for well-differentiated thyroid carcinoma until after delivery, neck ultrasounds should be performed during each trimester to assess for rapid tumor growth, which may indicate the need for surgery. Level I-USPSTF

**RECOMMENDATION 56**

Surgery in women with well-differentiated thyroid carcinoma may be deferred until postpartum without adversely affecting the patient’s prognosis. However, if substantial growth of the well-differentiated thyroid carcinoma occurs or the emergence of lymph node metastases prior to midgestation occurs, then surgery is recommended. Level B-USPSTF

**RECOMMENDATION 57**

Thyroid hormone therapy may be considered in pregnant women who have deferred surgery for well-differentiated thyroid carcinoma until postpartum. The goal of LT$_4$ therapy is a serum TSH level of 0.1–1.5 mIU/L. Level I-USPSTF

Question 65: How should suspicious thyroid nodules be managed during pregnancy?

There have been no prospective studies evaluating the outcome and prognosis of women with an FNA biopsy that is interpreted as being suspicious for thyroid cancer. Because 30% of suspicious thyroid nodules are malignant and the prognosis for DTC diagnosed during pregnancy is not adversely impacted by performing surgery postpartum, it is reasonable to defer surgery until after delivery. Because the majority of these women will have benign nodules, LT$_4$ therapy during pregnancy is not recommended.

**RECOMMENDATION 58**

Pregnant patients with an FNA sample that is suspicious for thyroid cancer do not require surgery while pregnant except in cases of rapid nodular growth and/or the appearance of lymph node metastases. Thyroid hormone therapy is not recommended. Level I-USPSTF

Figure 1 presents an algorithm for the work-up and treatment of a thyroid nodule detected during pregnancy.

Question 66: What are the TSH goals during pregnancy for women with previously treated thyroid cancer and who are on LT$_4$ therapy?

Based on studies that have demonstrated a lack of maternal or neonatal complications with subclinical hyperthyroidism it is reasonable to assume that the preconception degree of TSH suppression can be safely maintained throughout pregnancy. The appropriate level of TSH suppression depends upon preconception evidence of residual or recurrent disease. According to the ATA management guidelines for DTC (258) and the European Thyroid Association (ETA) consensus (259), serum TSH should be maintained indefinitely below 0.1 mU/L in patients with persistent disease. In patients who are clinically and biochemically free of disease but who presented with a high risk tumor, TSH suppression should be maintained with serum TSH levels between 0.1 and 0.5 mU/L. In low-risk patients free of disease, TSH may be kept within the low normal range (0.3–1.5 mU/L). Finally, in patients who have not undergone remnant ablation, who are clinically free of disease and have undetectable suppressed serum Tg and normal neck ultrasound, serum TSH may be allowed to remain in the low normal range (0.3–1.5 mU/L).

The main challenge in caring for women with previously treated DTC is maintaining the TSH level within the preconception range. In a recent report (56) thyroid cancer patients required smaller dose increases than patients who had undergone thyroid ablation for benign thyroid disorders or patients with primary hypothyroidism. On average, the cumulative LT$_4$ dose increased by 9% in the first trimester, 21% in the second trimester, and 26% in the third trimester, with the majority of patients (65%) requiring LT$_4$ adjustments during the second trimester. Patients require careful monitoring of thyroid function tests in order to avoid hypothyroidism.

Thyroid function should be evaluated as soon as pregnancy is confirmed. The adequacy of LT$_4$ treatment should be checked 4 weeks after any LT$_4$ dose change. The same
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apy is to keep TSH in the low-normal range of 0.1–1.5 mU/L.

growth of thyroid nodules during pregnancy. Hence, LT 4 suppressive therapy for thyroid nodules is not recommended during pregnancy. Nodules that on FNA were benign but show rapid growth or ultrasound changes suspicious for malignancy should have a repeat FNA and be considered for surgical intervention. In the absence of rapid growth, nodules with biopsies that are either benign or indeterminate do not require surgery during pregnancy (258).

Surgery for benign nodules must be considered in cases of large nodules with tracheal or esophageal compression.

**RECOMMENDATION 54**

Pregnant women with thyroid nodules that are read as benign on FNA cytology do not require surgery during pregnancy except in cases of rapid nodule growth and/or if severe compressive symptoms develop. Postpartum, nodules should be managed according to the 2009 ATA guidelines. Level B-USPSTF

Question 64: How should DTC be managed during pregnancy?

Recently published ATA Guidelines (258) recommend that a nodule with cytology indicating papillary thyroid carcinoma discovered early in pregnancy should be monitored sonographically. If it grows substantially by 24 weeks gestation (50% in volume and 20% in diameter in two dimensions), surgery should be performed. However, if it remains stable by midgestation or if it is diagnosed in the second half of pregnancy, surgery may be performed after delivery. In patients with more advanced disease, surgery in the second trimester is a viable option.

If surgery is deferred until postpartum, thyroid hormone suppression therapy may be considered for patients with an FNA biopsy diagnostic of a DTC (258). The goal of LT$_4$ therapy is to keep TSH in the low-normal range of 0.1–1.5 mIU/L.

**RECOMMENDATION 55**

When a decision has been made to defer surgery for well-differentiated thyroid carcinoma until after delivery, neck ultrasounds should be performed during each trimester to assess for rapid tumor growth, which may indicate the need for surgery. Level I-USPSTF

**RECOMMENDATION 56**

Surgery in women with well-differentiated thyroid carcinoma may be deferred until postpartum without adversely affecting the patient’s prognosis. However, if substantial growth of the well-differentiated thyroid carcinoma occurs or the emergence of lymph node metastases prior to midgestation occurs, then surgery is recommended. Level B-USPSTF

**RECOMMENDATION 57**

Thyroid hormone therapy may be considered in pregnant women who have deferred surgery for well-differentiated thyroid carcinoma until postpartum. The goal of LT$_4$ therapy is a serum TSH level of 0.1–1.5 mIU/L. Level I-USPSTF

Question 65: How should suspicious thyroid nodules be managed during pregnancy?

There have been no prospective studies evaluating the outcome and prognosis of women with an FNA biopsy that is interpreted as being suspicious for thyroid cancer. Because 30% of suspicious thyroid nodules are malignant and the prognosis for DTC diagnosed during pregnancy is not adversely impacted by performing surgery postpartum, it is reasonable to defer surgery until after delivery. Because the majority of these women will have benign nodules, LT$_4$ therapy during pregnancy is not recommended.

**RECOMMENDATION 58**

Pregnant patients with an FNA sample that is suspicious for thyroid cancer do not require surgery while pregnant except in cases of rapid nodular growth and/or the appearance of lymph node metastases. Thyroid hormone therapy is not recommended. Level I-USPSTF

Figure 1 presents an algorithm for the work-up and treatment of a thyroid nodule detected during pregnancy.

Question 66: What are the TSH goals during pregnancy for women with previously treated thyroid cancer and who are on LT$_4$ therapy?

Based on studies that have demonstrated a lack of maternal or neonatal complications with subclinical hyperthyroidism it is reasonable to assume that the preconception degree of TSH suppression can be safely maintained throughout pregnancy. The appropriate level of TSH suppression depends upon preconception evidence of residual or recurrent disease. According to the ATA management guidelines for DTC (258) and the European Thyroid Association (ETA) consensus (259), serum TSH should be maintained indefinitely below 0.1 mU/L in patients with persistent disease. In patients who are clinically and biochemically free of disease but who presented with a high risk tumor, TSH suppression should be maintained with serum TSH levels between 0.1 and 0.5 mU/L. In low-risk patients free of disease, TSH may be kept within the low normal range (0.3–1.5 mU/L). Finally, in patients who have not undergone remnant ablation, who are clinically free of disease and have undetectable suppressed serum Tg and normal neck ultrasound, serum TSH may be allowed to remain in the low normal range (0.3–1.5 mU/L).

The main challenge in caring for women with previously treated DTC is maintaining the TSH level within the preconception range. In a recent report (56) thyroid cancer patients required smaller dose increases than patients who had undergone thyroid ablation for benign thyroid disorders or patients with primary hypothyroidism. On average, the cumulative LT$_4$ dose increased by 9% in the first trimester, 21% in the second trimester, and 26% in the third trimester, with the majority of patients (65%) requiring LT$_4$ adjustments during the second trimester. Patients require careful monitoring of thyroid function tests in order to avoid hypothyroidism.

Thyroid function should be evaluated as soon as pregnancy is confirmed. The adequacy of LT$_4$ treatment should be checked 4 weeks after any LT$_4$ dose change. The same
laboratory should be utilized to monitor TSH and Tg levels before, during, and after pregnancy.

**RECOMMENDATION 59**

The preconception TSH goal in women with DTC, which is determined by risk stratification, should be maintained during pregnancy. TSH should be monitored approximately every 4 weeks until 16–20 weeks of gestation and once between 26 and 32 weeks of gestation.

**Level B-USPSTF**

**Question 67: What is the effect of RAI treatment for DTC on subsequent pregnancies?**

Following surgery for DTC the majority of patients will receive an ablative dose of RAI. The possible deleterious effect of radiation on gonadal function and the outcome of...
subsequent pregnancies has been evaluated by Sawka et al. (260) and Garski et al. (261) (the latter collected 2673 pregnancies, 483 of which occurred after RAI treatment). Neither study found an increased risk of infertility, miscarriage, stillbirth, neonatal mortality, congenital malformations, preterm birth, low birth weight, death during the first year of life, or cancer in the offspring.

The potential for an increased risk of miscarriage in the months following RAI administration may derive from sub-optimal thyroid hormonal control. Therefore it seems reasonable to wait a minimum of 6 months following RAI ablative therapy before conceiving. This would help ensure that LT4 replacement therapy is optimal at the time of conception.

**RECOMMENDATION 60**

There is no evidence that previous exposure to radioiodine affects the outcomes of subsequent pregnancies and offspring. Pregnancy should be deferred for 6 months following RAI treatment. LT4 dosing should be stabilized following RAI treatment before pregnancy is attempted.

*Level B-USPSTF*

**Question 68: Does pregnancy increase the risk of DTC recurrence?**

Five studies have evaluated the impact of pregnancy after a woman has been treated for DTC. Rosvoll and Winship (262) evaluated 60 women with a history of DTC who had subsequent pregnancies. No tumor recurrence was seen in the 38 women who had been disease free for between 2 and 15 years, and pregnancy did not accelerate tumor growth in the 22 women who had stable or slowly progressive disease. Hill et al. (263) found no difference in thyroid cancer recurrence rate in 70 women who had one or more pregnancies following initial diagnosis of DTC and 109 women who had no subsequent pregnancies. Leboeuf et al. (264) reported on 36 women who became pregnant a median of 4.3 years after initial treatment for DTC (264). The mean suppressed Tg after delivery was not significantly different than the prepregnancy value. However, eight women had Tg values after delivery more than 20% higher than before pregnancy (three with known disease, five with no clinical evidence of disease). No evidence of recurrence was detected in the early postpartum period in women with negative prepregnancy neck ultrasound and serum Tg < 3.2 ng/mL. Disease progression was documented by the enlargement of a previously stable cervical node in one patient and by a marked rise in serum Tg without evidence of structural disease progression in another patient with previously stable lung metastasis. Rosario et al. (265) reported that pregnancy did not result in cancer recurrence in any of 64 women previously treated for DTC who were thyroid cancer free at the time of pregnancy (as determined by Tg levels, ultrasound, and physical examination). Hirsch and colleagues (266) evaluated 63 women who had given birth after receiving treatment for DTC. Twenty-three of 63 had more than one pregnancy, for a total of 90 births. The mean time to the first delivery after completion of thyroid cancer treatment was 5.1 ± 4.4 years; mean duration of follow-up after the first delivery was 4.8 ± 3.8 years. Six women had evidence of thyroid cancer progression that was independent of pathological staging, interval from diagnosis to pregnancy, TSH level during pregnancy, or Tg level before conception. The authors did, however, find a positive correlation of cancer progression with persistence of thyroid cancer before pregnancy.

Pregnancy does not pose a risk for tumor recurrence in women without structural or biochemical disease present prior to the pregnancy. However, pregnancy may represent a stimulus to thyroid cancer growth in patients with known structural or biochemical disease present at the time of conception.

**Question 69: What type of monitoring should be performed during pregnancy in a patient who has already been treated for DTC prior to pregnancy?**

**RECOMMENDATION 61**

Ultrasound and Tg monitoring during pregnancy in patients with a history of previously treated DTC is not required for low-risk patients with no Tg or structural evidence of disease prior to pregnancy.

*Level B-USPSTF*

**RECOMMENDATION 62**

Ultrasound monitoring should be performed each trimester during pregnancy in patients with previously treated DTC and who have high levels of Tg or evidence of persistent structural disease prior to pregnancy.

*Level B-USPSTF*

**Postpartum Thyroiditis**

**Question 70: What is the definition of PPT and what are its clinical implications?**

PPT is the occurrence of thyroid dysfunction in the first postpartum year in women who were euthyroid prior to pregnancy (267). In its classical form, transient thyrotoxicosis is followed by transient hypothyroidism with a return to the euthyroid state by the end of the initial postpartum year (118). The clinical course of PPT varies, with 25% of cases presenting in the classical form, 32% with isolated thyrotoxicosis, and 43% with isolated hypothyroidism (268). The thyrotoxic phase of PPT typically occurs between 2 and 6 months postpartum, but episodes have been reported as late as 1 year following delivery. All episodes of thyrotoxicosis resolve spontaneously. The hypothyroid phase of PPT occurs from 3 to 12 months postpartum with 10%–20% of cases resulting in permanent hypothyroidism. It should be noted, however, that a recently published article reported that 50% of women with PPT remained hypothyroid at the end of the first postpartum year (269).

**Question 71: What is the etiology of PPT?**

PPT is an autoimmune disorder associated with the presence of thyroid antibodies (TPO and Tg antibodies), lymphocyte abnormalities, complement activation, increased levels of IgG1, increased natural killer cell activity, and specific HLA haplotypes (270–272). The occurrence of PPT postpartum reflects the immune suppression that occurs during pregnancy followed by the rebound of the immune system in the postpartum period.

**Question 72: Are there predictors of PPT?**

PPT will develop in 33%–50% of women who present with thyroid antibodies in the first trimester, conferring a relative risk of PPT of between 10 and 59 compared with women who are negative for thyroid antibodies (273). The risk of PPT...
increases as the titer of thyroid antibodies in the first trimester increases. Although thyroid hypoechogenecity predates the hormonal changes of PPT, it is not of clinical utility in predicting or diagnosing PPT (274).

**Question 73: What is the prevalence of PPT?**

The prevalence of PPT is approximately 8.1% and varies markedly in different studies (the range is between 1.1% and 16.7%) (275). Women with other autoimmune disorders have an increased risk of PPT. Specifically, the prevalence of PPT is 25% with Type 1 diabetes mellitus (276,277), 25% with chronic viral hepatitis (278), 14% with systemic lupus erythematosus (279), and 44% with a prior history of Graves’ disease (280). Individuals who had PPT in a prior episode and who returned to the euthyroid state have a 70% chance of developing PPT in a subsequent pregnancy (281). Women on LT4 therapy secondary to Hashimoto’s thyroiditis predating pregnancy may develop PPT if their thyroid gland is not completely atrophic (282). Cases of PPT have been reported following miscarriage, but the prevalence of thyroid dysfunction following pregnancy loss is unknown (283).

**Question 74: What symptoms are associated with PPT?**

Most women are asymptomatic during the thyrotoxic phase of PPT. This reflects the degree of increase in thyroid hormone, which is typically mild. Nevertheless, in prospective studies symptoms more common in women during the hyperthyroid phase of PPT, compared with euthyroid postpartum controls, include irritability, heat intolerance, fatigue, and palpitations (267,284–286). It is more common for women in the hypothyroid phase of PPT to be symptomatic. Symptoms experienced more frequently during the hypothyroid phase of PPT, compared with euthyroid postpartum controls, include cold intolerance, dry skin, lack of energy, impaired concentration, and aches and pains (267,284–286).

**Question 75: Is PPT associated with depression?**

Studies evaluating the relationship of PPT to postpartum depression have yielded mixed results, with some (287) but not all (288) studies reporting a significant association. Two studies have reported a significant association between thyroid antibodies and depression (289,290), irrespective of thyroid function, whereas one study showed no association between the presence of microsomal antibodies and postpartum depression (291). A prospective trial of LT4 intervention postpartum versus placebo, in TPOAb+ women, resulted in no difference in rates of postpartum depression between the two groups (292).

**RECOMMENDATION 63**

Women with postpartum depression should have TSH, FT4, and TPOAb tests performed. **Level B-USPSTF**

**Question 76: What is the treatment for the thyrotoxic phase of PPT?**

There have been no prospective studies evaluating when and how to treat PPT. Treatment of the thyrotoxic phase is guided by its transitory nature. ATDs (PTU and MMI) are ineffective in treating the thyrotoxic phase of PPT because it is a destructive thyroiditis. Clinical symptoms increased in the thyrotoxic phase, as compared with controls, are palpitations, heat intolerance, and nervousness (284,286). Symptoms are typically mild and frequently do not require intervention. The thyrotoxic phase of PPT must be differentiated from recurrent or de novo Graves’ disease.

**RECOMMENDATION 64**

During the thyrotoxic phase of PPT, symptomatic women may be treated with beta blockers. Propranolol at the lowest possible dose to alleviate symptoms is the treatment of choice. Therapy is typically required for a few months. **Level B-USPSTF**

**RECOMMENDATION 65**

ATDs are not recommended for the treatment of the thyrotoxic phase of PPT. **Level D-USPSTF**

**Question 77: Once the thyrotoxic phase of PPT resolves, how often should TSH be measured to screen for the hypothyroid phase?**

Following the resolution of the thyrotoxic phase of PPT, TSH should be tested every 2 months (or if symptoms are present) until 1 year postpartum to screen for the hypothyroid phase. **Level B-USPSTF**

**Question 78: What is the treatment for the hypothyroid phase of PPT?**

The hypothyroid phase of PPT is associated with increased symptoms including impaired concentration, carelessness, and an increase in total complaints when compared with postpartum euthyroid women (285).

**RECOMMENDATION 66**

Women who are symptomatic with hypothyroidism in PPT should either have their TSH level retested in 4–8 weeks or be started on LT4 (if symptoms are severe, if conception is being attempted, or if the patient desires therapy). Women who are asymptomatic with hypothyroidism in PPT should have their TSH level retested in 4–8 weeks. **Level B-USPSTF**

**RECOMMENDATION 67**

Women who are hypothyroid with PPT and attempting pregnancy should be treated with LT4. **Level A-USPSTF**

**Question 79: How long should LT4 be continued in women with PPT?**

The length of time that LT4 should be continued once initiated has not been systematically evaluated. Guiding principles are to maintain a euthyroid state while a woman is attempting pregnancy, pregnant, or breastfeeding, and eventually to determine if the hypothyroid phase of PPT was transitory or permanent.

**RECOMMENDATION 68**

If LT4 is initiated for PPT, future discontinuation of therapy should be attempted. Tapering of treatment can be begun 6–12 months after the initiation of treatment. Tapering of LT4 should be avoided when a woman is actively attempting pregnancy, is breastfeeding, or is pregnant. **Level C-USPSTF**
RECOMMENDATION 70

Women with a prior history of PPT should have an annual TSH test performed to evaluate for permanent hypothyroidism. Level A-USPSTF

Figure 2 presents an algorithm for the treatment and monitoring of PPT.

Question 81: Does treatment of TAb+ euthyroid women with LT4 or iodine during pregnancy prevent PPT?

Two prospective randomized placebo-controlled controlled trials have evaluated the efficacy of iodine or LT4 treatment during pregnancy to prevent the development of PPT in TAb+ women. Neither intervention decreased the prevalence of PPT (298,299). Levothyroxine diminished the degree of hypothyroidism during the hypothyroid phase of PPT and iodine appeared to enhance thyroid dysfunction.

RECOMMENDATION 71

Treatment of TAb+ euthyroid pregnant woman with either LT4 or iodine to prevent PPT is ineffective and is not recommended. Level D-USPSTF

Question 82: Does treatment of TAb+ euthyroid women with selenium during pregnancy prevent PPT?

In 2007 Negro et al. (65) evaluated the impact of selenium administration in preventing PPT in 151 Italian women who were positive for thyroid antibodies during pregnancy. Seventy-seven women received 200 μg/day of selenium during pregnancy and the postpartum period and 74 women received a placebo. The prevalence of PPT was significantly decreased in women receiving selenium as compared with women in the placebo group (28.6% vs. 48.6% p < 0.01). Because only a single trial has documented a benefit of selenium supplementation to prevent PPT, there is insufficient evidence to recommend selenium supplementation during pregnancy in TAb+ women (see Recommendation 21).

Thyroid Function Screening in Pregnancy

Question 83: Should all pregnant women be screened for serum TSH level in the first trimester of pregnancy?

The question of whether all pregnant women should be screened in order to identify and treat thyroid dysfunction has been extremely controversial. In order for any screening program to be worthwhile, the condition of interest must be prevalent in asymptomatic individuals, there must be a reliable and readily available test to identify the condition, and identification of the condition must result in a beneficial intervention. Finally, the screening and intervention strategy must be shown to be cost-effective.

U.S. data suggest that approximately 2%–3% of pregnant women will have an elevated serum TSH level at the time of routine screening. Of the screened women, 0.3%–0.5% will have OH and 2%–2.5% will have SCH (36,300). The prevalence of both OH and SCH increases with patient age and is also likely to be higher in iodine-deficient regions. Hyperthyroidism is less common, occurring in approximately 0.1%–0.4% of pregnant women (96). The prevalence of thyroid dysfunction in pregnant women is similar to the prevalence of other disorders for which universal screening has been advocated.

Serum TSH testing is relatively inexpensive, is widely available, and is a reliable test in pregnancy, assuming that trimester-specific reference ranges are applied.

The adverse maternal and fetal effects associated with undiagnosed and untreated overt thyroid dysfunction (both overt hypothyroidism and overt hyperthyroidism) in pregnant women have been clearly delineated as described in previous sections. Subclinical maternal hyperthyroidism has not been associated with adverse maternal or fetal outcomes (25).

The maternal and fetal consequences of SCH in pregnancy are less well defined, although the majority of studies report an association between SCH and adverse pregnancy outcomes. A retrospective study by Casey et al. described a two- to threefold increased risk of placental abruption and preterm delivery before 34 weeks in untreated women with SCH compared with euthyroid controls (35). Abalovich et al. (38) reported that inadequate LT4 treatment in women with either OH or SCH was associated with significant increases in the risks for miscarriage and preterm delivery. Allan et al. (36) described a fourfold increased rate of fetal death in mothers with hypothyroidism compared with a euthyroid control population. Negro et al. (41) recently noted an increased miscarriage rate in TPOAb– women with serum TSH values between 2.5 and 5.0 mIU/L. However, studies have not consistently demonstrated adverse obstetrical outcomes in pregnant women with SCH. Cleary-Goldman et al. (43) did not find any association between maternal SCH and adverse pregnancy outcomes in a secondary analysis of a cohort of 10,990 women. Similarly, Mannisto et al. (44,45) found no association between SCH and adverse pregnancy outcomes in a large retrospective cohort.

Several studies suggest that mild maternal hypothyroidism is associated with adverse fetal neurocognitive outcomes. In a large case–control study Haddow et al. (37) demonstrated that at age 7–9 years children of mothers with untreated TSH elevations in pregnancy had IQ scores 7 points lower than children of euthyroid mothers. Li et al. (51) noted decreased IQ not only in the offspring of mothers with hypothyroidism or hypothyroxinemia during the first trimester, but also in those mothers who had detectable thyroid antibodies without thyroid dysfunction. Pop et al. (301) reported a decrease in psychomotor testing among offspring born to women with serum FT4 in the lowest 10th percentile, most of whom had normal serum TSH values. Finally, it was recently reported that maternal TSH in the Generation R Study was not related to...
cognitive outcomes in offspring, but that maternal hypothyroxinemia was associated with a higher risk of expressive language and nonverbal cognitive delays (52).

Only one prospective trial to date has demonstrated that LT4 treatment of subclinically hypothyroid women who were TPOAb+ resulted in improved obstetric outcomes. Negro et al. (40) randomized 4562 first-trimester pregnant women to a case-finding vs. universal thyroid screening strategy. Women from the case-finding group considered to be at high risk for thyroid dysfunction and all women from the universal screening group had thyroid function tests ascertained during the first trimester, and those who were TPOAb+ with TSH >2.5 mIU/L were treated with LT4. Women in the case-finding group who were considered low-risk had serum samples drawn in early pregnancy, but measurement of TSH in these samples was delayed until after delivery, and thus no LT4 was provided for women of this group. Women who were TPOAb− with TSH levels between 2.5 and 5.0 mIU/L were not treated in this investigation, and therefore no conclusions can be drawn about this subgroup. While the universal screening approach did not result in an overall decrease in adverse outcomes, treatment of thyroid dysfunction, as defined as a TSH >2.5 mIU/L in TPOAb+ women, was associated with a significantly lower risk of at least one of the following adverse obstetrical outcomes: miscarriage, hypertension, preeclampsia, gestational diabetes, placental abruption, cesarean delivery, congestive heart failure, preterm

FIG. 2. An algorithm for the treatment and monitoring of postpartum thyroiditis.
labor, respiratory distress, neonatal intensive care unit admission, low birth weight, high birth weight, preterm or very preterm delivery, low Apgar score, and perinatal death.

The Controlled Antenatal Thyroid Screening Study was designed to determine whether LT$_4$ treatment for hypothyroid or hypothyroxinemic pregnant women improves child intellectual development. A total of 22,000 women with singleton pregnancies were enrolled at <16 weeks gestation (mean gestational age 12.5 weeks) and were randomized to immediate assay of thyroid function, with LT$_4$ treatment for elevated serum TSH (>97.5th percentile) and/or low serum FT$_4$ (<2.5th percentile), versus storage of blood samples for measurement of thyroid function only after completion of pregnancy. Preliminary results were given as an oral presentation at the International Thyroid Congress in Paris, France, in September 2010 (302). At age 3 years, there was no difference in IQ between the children of 390 treated mothers compared with 404 untreated mothers. Fifteen percent of children in the control group had an IQ <85 compared with 11.5% in the screened and treated group, but this difference was not significant ($p = 0.09$).

A multicenter randomized placebo-controlled clinical trial to evaluate the effects of LT$_4$ treatment for pregnant women with subclinical hypothyroidism or hypothyroxinemia is currently being conducted by the Maternal Fetal Medicine Unit of the National Institutes of Health. The primary outcome will be child IQ at 5 years of age. It is anticipated that results of this study will be available in 2015.

Universal screening for thyroid dysfunction in pregnancy has been found to be cost-effective in one study (303). However, this was based on the assumption that treatment of subclinically hypothyroid pregnant women would increase offspring IQ. Another cost-effectiveness study by Thung et al. (304) concluded that screening for SCH in pregnancy would be cost-effective if future RCTs were to demonstrate that LT$_4$ treatment of pregnant women with SCH decreased the incidence of offspring with an IQ of less than 85.

- **RECOMMENDATION 72**
  There is insufficient evidence to recommend for or against universal TSH screening at the first trimester visit.  
  Level I-USPSTF

- **RECOMMENDATION 73**
  Because no studies to date have demonstrated a benefit to treatment of isolated maternal hypothyroxinemia, universal FT$_4$ screening of pregnant women is not recommended.  
  Level D-USPSTF

**Question 84: Should serum TSH testing be carried out in a targeted population of pregnant women?**

In the absence of strong evidence favoring universal thyroid screening in pregnant women, a case-finding approach targeting thyroid function testing in high-risk groups has previously been advocated (1). However, this approach has been questioned. Vaidya et al. (305) obtained thyroid function tests in 1560 consecutive pregnancies to evaluate the effectiveness of a targeted case-finding strategy vs. universal screening to identify patients with hypothyroidism. In this cohort, 30% of hypothyroid women would not have been identified using the case-finding approach. In a separate study of 400 pregnant women, the authors estimated that 55% of women with thyroid abnormalities (including thyroid antibody positivity and hypothyroxinemia as well as hypothyroidism) would have been missed using a case-finding rather than a universal screening approach (306). To date, universal screening has not been demonstrated to result in improved population outcomes (40).

Women who are at high risk for thyroid dysfunction and may benefit from selected screening during pregnancy include those with the following attributes:

1. Women with a history of thyroid dysfunction and/or thyroid surgery. The prevalence of hypothyroidism following thyroid lobectomy has been reported as high as 33% (307).
2. Women with a family history of thyroid disease.
3. Women with a goiter.
4. Women with thyroid antibodies. Based on NHANES data, the odds ratio for OH is approximately 40 in individuals with TPOAb compared with women who are TPOAb− (308).
5. Women with symptoms or clinical signs suggestive of hypothyroidism. It is important to note that women with OH are not invariably symptomatic. In a case-control study, although OH patients were more likely than euthyroid controls to report hypothyroid symptoms, only 30% of patients were symptomatic and 17% of the controls complained of hypothyroid symptoms (309).
6. Women with type I diabetes, in whom the rate of development of new onset hypothyroidism in pregnancy was 16% in one series (310).
7. Women with a history of either miscarriage or preterm delivery.
8. Women with other autoimmune disorders that are frequently associated with autoimmune thyroid dysfunction, including vitiligo, adrenal insufficiency, hypoparathyroidism, atrophic gastritis, pernicious anemia, systemic sclerosis, systemic lupus erythematosus, and Sjögren’s syndrome (311).
9. Women with infertility should have screening with TSH as part of their infertility work-up. In one study 2% of women presenting for infertility treatment were found to have hyperthyroidism (312). The prevalence of hypothyroidism (overt and subclinical) among infertile women ranged from 1% to 43% in different studies (69).
10. Women with prior therapeutic head or neck irradiation. The 8-year prevalence of hypothyroidism has been calculated to be up to 67% following external radiation to the head and neck (313).
11. Women with morbid obesity. A body mass index ≥40 kg/m$^2$ has been associated with an increased prevalence of hypothyroidism: in two recent studies cohorts of morbidly obese women had an overall prevalence of SCH and OH of 13.7% (314) and 19.5% (315), respectively.
12. Women age 30 or older. The prevalence of hypothyroidism increases with age. The prevalence of an elevated serum TSH (>5 mIU/L) increases from about 4% in women age 18–24 years to almost 7% in women aged 35–44 years (308,316).
13) Women treated with amiodarone. The prevalence of thyroid dysfunction in individuals taking amiodarone varies depending whether regions are iodine deficient or sufficient, but overall 14%–18% of patients taking amiodarone will develop overt hyperthyroidism or overt hypothyroidism (317).

14) Women treated with lithium. Recent estimates of the prevalence of hypothyroidism in patients using lithium have been variable, ranging between 6% and 52% (318).

15) Women with a recent (in the past 6 weeks) exposure to iodinated radiological contrast agents. The prevalence of iodine-induced thyroid dysfunction may be as high as 20%, depending on the dietary iodine status of the exposed individuals (319).

It might be reasonable to consider preconception TSH testing in high-risk women who desire pregnancy since early diagnosis could potentially improve outcomes. However, studies on this particular issue have not been done.

Finally, women with a previous personal history of thyroid dysfunction should always be identified at their initial antenatal visit so that their thyroid function can be appropriately monitored and treated.

**RECOMMENDATION 74**
There is insufficient evidence to recommend for or against TSH testing preconception in women at high risk for hypothyroidism. **Level I-USPSTF**

**RECOMMENDATION 75**
All pregnant women should be verbally screened at the initial prenatal visit for any history of thyroid dysfunction and/or use of thyroid hormone (LT4) or anti-thyroid medications (MMI, carbimazole, or PTU). **Level B-USPSTF**

---

**FIG. 3.** An algorithm for the interpretation and management of the results of first trimester screening. TFT, thyroid function tests.
RECOMMENDATION 76

Serum TSH values should be obtained early in pregnancy in the following women at high risk for overt hypothyroidism:

- History of thyroid dysfunction or prior thyroid surgery
- Age >30 years
- Symptoms of thyroid dysfunction or the presence of goiter
- TPOAb positivity
- Type 1 diabetes or other autoimmune disorders
- History of miscarriage or preterm delivery
- History of head or neck radiation
- Family history of thyroid dysfunction
- Morbid obesity (BMI ≥40 kg/m²)
- Use of amiodarone or lithium, or recent administration of iodinated radiologic contrast
- Infertility
- Residing in an area of known moderate to severe iodine insufficiency

Level B-USPSTF

Dissent from one committee member: There is no good evidence that improved maternal or perinatal outcomes will be obtained if the criteria for thyroid function screening were different for a pregnant than a nonpregnant population. Correspondingly, criteria for screening pregnant women should not differ from the nonpregnant population.

Figure 3 is an algorithm for the interpretation and management of the results of first trimester screening.

FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS

In developing the Guidelines the task force frequently struggled with the paucity of high-quality double-blind placebo controlled trials in the field of thyroid and pregnancy. In fact, only 18 of the 76 recommendations (24%) in the present Guidelines were graded at the highest USPSTF Level (Level A). The Guidelines task force identified topics for future research that will be critical in resolving many of the unanswered questions in the field of thyroid and pregnancy. Of concern to the task force is that the double-blind placebo control studies either recently completed, or presently underway, began screening and intervention after the first trimester. As such these studies will not be able to address the impact of LT₄ treatment in the first trimester in women with SCH, isolated hypothyroxinemia, or thyroid antibody positivity on the mother and developing fetus. A trial that screens women preconception and then randomizes women with SCH or isolated hypothyroxinemia and TAb⁺ euthyroid women to either a treatment or no treatment arm is needed. The task force is aware of the difficulties inherent in performing such a trial, and the ethical challenges to be faced. Nevertheless, we believe that such a trial is feasible, can be ethically performed with appropriate study design and safeguards, and will yield invaluable information related to the optimal care of the pregnant women and the developing fetus. Other areas for future research include:

- A comprehensive cost-effectiveness study of screening for thyroid disease in pregnancy.
- A study evaluating the impact of iodine supplementation in pregnant women with the mildest form of iodine deficiency (median urinary iodine concentrations 100–150 μg/L).
- A study focused on the effects of iodine supplementation during lactation on infant thyroid function and cognition.
- A study to determine safe upper limits for iodine ingestion in pregnancy and lactation.
- A comprehensive study to assess the iodine status of pregnant and lactating women in the United States.
- A trial assessing the optimal targeted FT₄ level in pregnant women treated for hyperthyroidism.
- Another well powered, prospective, randomized interventional trial of LT₄ in euthyroid patients who are TPOAb⁺ for the prevention of spontaneous abortion and preterm delivery.
- A study to evaluate the impact of LT₄ therapy in TAb⁺ euthyroid women with recurrent spontaneous abortion.
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APPENDIX: PREGNANCY AND POSTPARTUM THYROID DISEASE MANAGEMENT GUIDELINES OF THE AMERICAN THYROID ASSOCIATION—SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS

Thyroid Function Tests in Pregnancy

Recommendation 1 Trimester-specific reference ranges for TSH, as defined in populations with optimal iodine intake, should be applied. Level B-USPSTF

Recommendation 2 If trimester-specific reference ranges for TSH are not available in the laboratory, the following reference ranges are recommended: first trimester, 0.1–2.5 mIU/L; second trimester, 0.2–3.0 mIU/L; third trimester, 0.3–3.0 mIU/L. Level I-USPSTF

Recommendation 3 The optimal method to assess serum FT4 during pregnancy is measurement of T4 in the dialysate or ultrafiltrate of serum samples employing on-line extraction/liquid chromatography/tandem mass spectrometry (LC/MS/MS). Level A-USPSTF

Recommendation 4 If FT4 measurement by LC/MS/MS is not available, clinicians should use whichever measure or estimate of FT4 is available in their laboratory, being aware of the limitations of each method. Serum TSH is a more accurate indication of thyroid status in pregnancy than any of these alternative methods. Level A-USPSTF

Recommendation 5 In view of the wide variation in the results of FT4 assays, method-specific and trimester-specific reference ranges of serum FT4 are required. Level B-USPSTF

Hypothyroidism in Pregnancy

Recommendation 6 OH should be treated in pregnancy. This includes women with a TSH concentration above the trimester-specific reference interval with a decreased FT4 and all women with a TSH concentration above 10.0 mIU/L irrespective of the level of FT4. Level A-USPSTF

Recommendation 7 Isolated hypothyroxinemia should not be treated in pregnancy. Level C-USPSTF

Recommendation 8 SCH has been associated with adverse maternal and fetal outcomes. However, due to the lack of randomized controlled trials there is insufficient evidence to recommend for or against universal LT4 treatment in TAb— pregnant women with SCH. Level I-USPSTF
Recommendation 9  Women who are positive for TPOAb and have SCH should be treated with LT4.  
Level B-USPSTF

Recommendation 10 The recommended treatment of maternal hypothyroidism is with administration of oral LT4. It is strongly recommended not to use other thyroid preparations such as T3 or desiccated thyroid.  
Level A-USPSTF

Recommendation 11 The goal of LT4 treatment is to normalize maternal serum TSH values within the trimester-specific pregnancy reference range (first trimester, 0.1–2.5 mIU/L, second trimester, 0.2–3.0 mIU/L, third trimester, 0.3–3.0 mIU/L).  
Level A-USPSTF

Recommendation 12 Women with SCH in pregnancy who are not initially treated should be monitored for progression to OH with a serum TSH and FT4 approximately every 4 weeks until 16–20 weeks gestation and at least once between 26 and 32 weeks gestation. This approach has not been prospectively studied.  
Level I-USPSTF

Recommendation 13 Treated hypothyroid patients (receiving LT4), who are newly pregnant should independently increase their dose of LT4 by ~25%–30% upon a missed menstrual cycle or positive home pregnancy test and notify their caregiver promptly. One means of accomplishing this adjustment is to increase LT4 from once daily dosing to a total of nine doses per week (29% increase).  
Level B-USPSTF

Recommendation 14 There exists great interindividual variability regarding the increased amount of T4 (or LT4) necessary to maintain a normal TSH throughout pregnancy, with some women requiring only 10%–20% increased dosing, while others may require as much as an 80% increase. The etiology of maternal hypothyroidism, as well as the preconception level of TSH, may provide insight into the magnitude of necessary LT4 increase. Clinicians should seek this information upon assessment of the patient after pregnancy is confirmed.  
Level A-USPSTF

Recommendation 15 Treated hypothyroid patients (receiving LT4) who are planning pregnancy should have their dose adjusted by their provider in order to optimize serum TSH values to <2.5 mIU/L preconception. Lower preconception TSH values (within the nonpregnant reference range) reduce the risk of TSH elevation during the first trimester.  
Level B-USPSTF

Recommendation 16 In pregnant patients with treated hypothyroidism, maternal serum TSH should be monitored approximately every 4 weeks during the first half of pregnancy because further LT4 dose adjustments are often required.  
Level B-USPSTF

Recommendation 17 In pregnant patients with treated hypothyroidism, maternal TSH should be checked at least once between 26 and 32 weeks gestation.  
Level I-USPSTF

Recommendation 18 Following delivery, LT4 should be reduced to the patient's preconception dose. Additional TSH testing should be performed at approximately 6 weeks postpartum.  
Level B-USPSTF

Recommendation 19 In the care of women with adequately treated Hashimoto's thyroiditis, no other maternal or fetal thyroid testing is recommended beyond measurement of maternal thyroid function (such as serial fetal ultrasounds, antenatal testing, and/or umbilical blood sampling) unless for other pregnancy circumstances.  
Level A-USPSTF

Recommendation 20 Euthyroid women (not receiving LT4) who are TAb+ require monitoring for hypothyroidism during pregnancy. Serum TSH should be evaluated every 4 weeks during the first half of pregnancy and at least once between 26 and 32 weeks gestation.  
Level B-USPSTF

Recommendation 21 A single RCT has demonstrated a reduction in postpartum thyroiditis from selenium therapy. No subsequent trials have confirmed or refuted these findings. At present, selenium supplementation is not recommended for TPOAb+ women during pregnancy.  
Level C-USPSTF

Thyrotoxicosis in Pregnancy

Recommendation 22 In the presence of a suppressed serum TSH in the first trimester (TSH <0.1 mIU/L), a history and physical examination are indicated. FT4 measurements should be obtained in all patients. Measurement of TT3 and TRAb may be helpful in establishing a diagnosis of hyperthyroidism.  
Level B-USPSTF

Recommendation 23 There is not enough evidence to recommend for or against the use of thyroid ultrasound in differentiating the cause of hyperthyroidism in pregnancy.  
Level I-USPSTF

Recommendation 24 Radioactive iodine (RAI) scanning or radioiodine uptake determination should not be performed in pregnancy.  
Level D-USPSTF

Recommendation 25 The appropriate management of women with gestational hyperthyroidism and hyperemesis gravidarum includes supportive therapy, management of dehydration, and hospitalization if needed.  
Level A-USPSTF

Recommendation 26 ATDs are not recommended for the management of gestational hyperthyroidism.  
Level D-USPSTF

Recommendation 27 Thyrotoxic women should be rendered euthyroid before attempting pregnancy.  
Level A-USPSTF

*Dissent from one committee member: There is no consistent prospective evidence demonstrating that women who are TPOAb+, but who have SCH only, achieve maternal or perinatal benefit from LT4 treatment. Correspondingly, there is no indication to treat women who are TPOAb+ and have SCH with LT4.*
Clinical Guidelines for Iodine Nutrition

Recommendation 28
PTU is preferred for the treatment of hyperthyroidism in the first trimester. Patients on MMI should be switched to PTU if pregnancy is confirmed in the first trimester. Following the first trimester, consideration should be given to switching to MMI. Level I-USPSTF

Recommendation 29
A combination regimen of LT4 and an ATD should not be used in pregnancy, except in the rare situation of fetal hyperthyroidism. Level D-USPSTF

Recommendation 30
In women being treated with ATDs in pregnancy, FT4 and TSH should be monitored approximately every 2–6 weeks. The primary goal is a serum FT4 at or moderately above the normal reference range. Level B-USPSTF

Recommendation 31
Thyroidectomy in pregnancy is rarely indicated. If required, the optimal time for thyroidectomy is in the second trimester. Level A-USPSTF

Recommendation 32
If the patient has a past or present history of Graves’ disease, a maternal serum determination of TRAb should be obtained at 20–24 weeks gestation. Level B-USPSTF

Recommendation 33
Fetal surveillance with serial ultrasounds should be performed in women who have uncontrolled hyperthyroidism and/or women with high TRAb levels (greater than three times the upper limit of normal). A consultation with an experienced obstetrician or maternal–fetal medicine specialist is optimal. Such monitoring may include ultrasound for heart rate, growth, amniotic fluid volume and fetal goiter. Level I-USPSTF

Recommendation 34
Cordocentesis should be used in extremely rare circumstances and performed in an appropriate setting. It may occasionally be of use when fetal goiter is detected in women taking ATDs to help determine whether the fetus is hyperthyroid or hypothyroid. Level I-USPSTF

Recommendation 35
MMI in doses up to 20–30 mg/d is safe for lactating mothers and their infants. PTU at doses up to 300 mg/d is a second-line agent due to concerns about severe hepatotoxicity. ATDs should be administered following a feeding and in divided doses. Level A-USPSTF

Recommendation 36
All pregnant and lactating women should ingest a minimum of 250 μg iodine daily. Level A-USPSTF

Recommendation 37
To achieve a total of 250 μg of iodine ingestion daily in North America all women who are planning to be pregnant or are pregnant or breastfeeding should supplement their diet with a daily oral supplement that contains 150 μg of iodine. This is optimally delivered in the form of potassium iodide because kelp and other forms of seaweed do not provide a consistent delivery of daily iodide. Level B-USPSTF

Recommendation 38
In areas of the world outside of North America, strategies for ensuring adequate iodine intake preconception, pregnancy, and lactation should vary according to regional dietary patterns and availability of iodized salt. Level C-USPSTF

Recommendation 39
Pharmacologic doses of iodine exposure during pregnancy should be avoided, except in preparation for thyroid surgery for Graves’ disease. Clinicians should carefully weigh the risks and benefits when ordering medications or diagnostic tests that will result in high iodine exposure. Level C-USPSTF

Recommendation 40
Sustained iodine intake from diet and dietary supplements exceeding 500–1100 μg daily should be avoided due to concerns about the potential for fetal hypothyroidism. Level C-USPSTF

Spontaneous Pregnancy Loss, Preterm Delivery, and Thyroid Antibodies

Recommendation 41
There is insufficient evidence to recommend for or against screening all women for anti-thyroid antibodies in the first trimester of pregnancy. Level I-USPSTF

Recommendation 42
There is insufficient evidence to recommend for or against screening for thyroid antibodies, or treating in the first trimester of pregnancy with LT4 or IVIG, in euthyroid women with sporadic or recurrent abortion, or in women undergoing in vitro fertilization (IVF). Level I-USPSTF

Recommendation 43
There is insufficient evidence to recommend for or against LT4 therapy in TAb+ euthyroid women during pregnancy. Level I-USPSTF

Recommendation 44
There is insufficient evidence to recommend for or against LT4 therapy in euthyroid TAb+ women undergoing assisted reproduction technologies. Level I-USPSTF

Recommendation 45
There is insufficient evidence to recommend for or against screening for anti-thyroid antibodies in the first trimester of pregnancy, or treating TAb+ euthyroid women with LT4, to prevent preterm delivery. Level I-USPSTF

Thyroid Nodules and Thyroid Cancer

Recommendation 46
The optimal diagnostic strategy for thyroid nodules detected during pregnancy is based on risk stratification. All women should have the following: a complete history and clinical examination, serum TSH testing, and ultrasound of the neck. Level A-USPSTF

Recommendation 47
The utility of measuring calcitonin in pregnant women with thyroid nodules is unknown. Level I-USPSTF

Recommendation 48
Thyroid or lymph node FNA confers no additional risks to a pregnancy. Level A-USPSTF

Recommendation 49
Thyroid nodules discovered during pregnancy that have suspicious ultrasound features, as delineated by the 2009 ATA guidelines, should be considered for FNA. In instances in which nodules are likely benign, FNA may be deferred until after delivery based on patients’ preference. Level I-USPSTF
Recommendation 50 The use of radioiodine imaging and/or uptake determination or therapeutic dosing is contraindicated during pregnancy. Inadvertent use of radioiodine prior to 12 weeks of gestation does not appear to damage the fetal thyroid. Level A-USPSTF

Recommendation 51 Because the prognosis of women with well-differentiated thyroid cancer identified but not treated during pregnancy is similar to that of nonpregnant patients, surgery may be generally deferred until postpartum. Level B-USPSTF

Recommendation 52 The impact of pregnancy on women with medullary carcinoma is unknown. Surgery is recommended during pregnancy in the presence of a large primary tumor or extensive lymph node metastases. Level I-USPSTF

Recommendation 53 Surgery for thyroid carcinoma during the second trimester of pregnancy has not been demonstrated to be associated with increased maternal or fetal risk. Level B-USPSTF

Recommendation 54 Pregnant women with thyroid nodules that are read as benign on FNA cytology do not require surgery during pregnancy except in cases of rapid nodule growth and/or if severe compressive symptoms develop. Postpartum, nodules should be managed according to the 2009 ATA guidelines. Level B-USPSTF

Recommendation 55 When a decision has been made to defer surgery for well-differentiated thyroid carcinoma until after delivery, neck ultrasounds should be performed during each trimester to assess for rapid tumor growth, which may indicate the need for surgery. Level I-USPSTF

Recommendation 56 Surgery in women with well-differentiated thyroid carcinoma may be deferred until postpartum without adversely affecting the patient’s prognosis. However, if substantial growth of the well-differentiated thyroid carcinoma occurs or the emergence of lymph node metastases prior to midgestation occurs, then surgery is recommended. Level B-USPSTF

Recommendation 57 Thyroid hormone therapy may be considered in pregnant women who have deferred surgery for well-differentiated thyroid carcinoma until postpartum. The goal of LT4 therapy is a serum TSH level of 0.1–1.5 mIU/L. Level I-USPSTF

Recommendation 58 Pregnant patients with an FNA sample that is suspicious for thyroid cancer do not require surgery while pregnant except in cases of rapid nodular growth and/or the appearance of lymph node metastases. Thyroid hormone therapy is not recommended. Level I-USPSTF

Recommendation 59 The preconception TSH goal in women with DTC, which is determined by risk stratification, should be maintained during pregnancy. TSH should be monitored approximately every 4 weeks until 16–20 weeks of gestation and once between 26 and 32 weeks of gestation. Level B-USPSTF

Recommendation 60 There is no evidence that previous exposure to radioiodine affects the outcomes of subsequent pregnancies and offspring. Pregnancy should be deferred for 6 months following RAI treatment. LT4 dosing should be stabilized following RAI treatment before pregnancy is attempted. Level B-USPSTF

Recommendation 61 Ultrasound and Tg monitoring during pregnancy in patients with a history of previously treated DTC is not required for low-risk patients with no Tg or structural evidence of disease prior to pregnancy. Level B-USPSTF

Recommendation 62 Ultrasound monitoring should be performed each trimester during pregnancy in patients with previously treated DTC and who have high levels of Tg or evidence of persistent structural disease prior to pregnancy. Level B-USPSTF

Postpartum Thyroiditis

Recommendation 63 Women with postpartum depression should have TSH, FT4, and TPOAb tests performed. Level B-USPSTF

Recommendation 64 During the thyrotoxic phase of PPT, symptomatic women may be treated with beta blockers. Propranolol at the lowest possible dose to alleviate symptoms is the treatment of choice. Therapy is typically required for a few months. Level B-USPSTF

Recommendation 65 ATDs are not recommended for the treatment of the thyrotoxic phase of PPT. Level D-USPSTF

Recommendation 66 Following the resolution of the thyrotoxic phase of PPT, TSH should be tested every 2 months (or if symptoms are present) until 1 year postpartum to screen for the hypothyroid phase. Level B-USPSTF

Recommendation 67 Women who are symptomatic with hypothyroidism in PPT should either have their TSH level retested in 4–8 weeks or be started on LT4 (if symptoms are severe, if conception is being attempted, or if the patient desires therapy). Women who are asymptomatic with hypothyroidism in PPT should have their TSH level retested in 4–8 weeks. Level B-USPSTF

Recommendation 68 Women who are hypothyroid with PPT and attempting pregnancy should be treated with LT4. Level A-USPSTF

Recommendation 69 If LT4 is initiated for PPT, future discontinuation of therapy should be attempted. Tapering of treatment can be begun 6–12 months after the initiation of treatment. Tapering of LT4 should be avoided when a woman is actively attempting pregnancy, is breastfeeding, or is pregnant. Level C-USPSTF
Recommendation 70 Women with a prior history of PPT should have an annual TSH test performed to evaluate for permanent hypothyroidism. Level A-USPSTF

Recommendation 71 Treatment of TAb+ euthyroid pregnant woman with either LT₄ or iodine to prevent PPT is ineffective and is not recommended. Level D-USPSTF

Thyroid Function Screening in Pregnancy

Recommendation 72 There is insufficient evidence to recommend for or against universal TSH screening at the first trimester visit. Level I-USPSTF

Recommendation 73 Because no studies to date have demonstrated a benefit to treatment of isolated maternal hypothyroxinemia, universal FT₄ screening of pregnant women is not recommended. Level D-USPSTF

Recommendation 74 There is insufficient evidence to recommend for or against TSH testing preconception in women at high risk for hypothyroidism. Level I-USPSTF

Recommendation 75 All pregnant women should be verbally screened at the initial prenatal visit for any history of thyroid dysfunction and/or use of thyroid hormone (LT₄) or anti-thyroid medications (MMI, carbimazole, or PTU). Level B-USPSTF

Recommendation 76 Serum TSH values should be obtained early in pregnancy in the following women at high risk for overt hypothyroidism:

- History of thyroid dysfunction or prior thyroid surgery
- Age >30 years
- Symptoms of thyroid dysfunction or the presence of goiter
- TPOAb positivity
- Type 1 diabetes or other autoimmune disorders
- History of miscarriage or preterm delivery
- History of head or neck radiation
- Family history of thyroid dysfunction
- Morbid obesity (BMI ≥40 kg/m²)
- Use of amiodarone or lithium, or recent administration of iodinated radiologic contrast
- Infertility
- Residing in an area of known moderate to severe iodine sufficiency

Level B-USPSTF

1Dissent from one committee member: There is no good evidence that improved maternal or perinatal outcomes will be obtained if the criteria for thyroid function screening were different for a pregnant than a nonpregnant population. Correspondingly, criteria for screening pregnant women should not differ from the nonpregnant population.

This article has been revised since its original e-publication on July 25, 2011, in order to merge duplicate terminology and correct typographical errors. The terms “anti-thyroid antibodies,” “anti-TPO antibodies,” and “Ab+” have been replaced by “thyroid antibodies,” “TPO antibodies,” and “TAb+,” respectively. In Recommendation 37, “planning to be pregnancy” has been changed to “planning to be pregnant.” Also, the endorsement of these guidelines by the American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists (AACE) and Endocrine Society of Australia (ESA) is acknowledged. Correction date: September 12, 2011.
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